# Package 'subselect'

March 31, 2025

**Version** 0.16.0

Date 2025-03-29

Title Selecting Variable Subsets

Author Jorge Orestes Cerdeira [aut], Pedro Duarte Silva [aut, cre], Jorge Cadima [aut], Manuel Minhoto [aut]

Maintainer Pedro Duarte Silva <psilva@ucp.pt>

**Description** A collection of functions which (i) assess the quality of variable subsets as surrogates for a full data set, in either an exploratory data analysis or in the context of a multivariate linear model, and (ii) search for subsets which are optimal under various criteria. Theoretical support for the heuristic search methods and exploratory data analysis criteria is in Cadima, Cerdeira, Minhoto (2003, <doi:10.1016/j.csda.2003.11.001>). Theoretical support for the leap and bounds algorithm and the criteria for the general multivariate linear model is in Duarte Silva (2001, <doi:10.1006/jmva.2000.1920>). There is a package vignette ``subselect", which includes additional references.

**Depends** R (>= 3.5.0)

Imports MASS, ISwR, corpcor

**License** GPL ( $\geq 2$ )

Repository CRAN

LazyData TRUE

NeedsCompilation yes Date/Publication 2025-03-31 17:10:01 UTC

# Contents

anneal					 •						•						•								2
ccr12.coef					 •												•								13
eleaps					 •						•						•								15
farm			•	•	 	•	•	•	•		•		•		•	•	•	•		•		•	•		25
gcd.coef			•	•	 •	•	•	•	•		•		•		•	•	•	•		•		•	•		27
genetic					 						•				•		•								29

glhHmat	38
glmHmat	43
improve	47
ldaHmat	57
lmHmat	58
rm.coef	65
rv.coef	67
tau2.coef	68
trim.matrix	
wald.coef	73
xi2.coef	76
zeta2.coef	78
8	81

# Index

anneal

Simulated Annealing Search for an optimal k-variable subset

## Description

Given a set of variables, a Simulated Annealing algorithm seeks a k-variable subset which is optimal, as a surrogate for the whole set, with respect to a given criterion.

## Usage

```
anneal( mat, kmin, kmax = kmin, nsol = 1, niter = 1000, exclude
= NULL, include = NULL, improvement = TRUE, setseed = FALSE,
cooling = 0.05, temp = 1, coolfreq = 1, criterion = "default",
pcindices = "first_k", initialsol=NULL, force=FALSE, H=NULL, r=0,
tolval=1000*.Machine$double.eps,tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

## Arguments

mat	a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin	the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax	the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
nsol	the number of initial/final subsets (runs of the algorithm).
niter	the number of iterations of the algorithm for each initial subset.
exclude	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
include	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included in the subsets.

improvement	a logical variable indicating whether or not the best final subset (for each cardinality) is to be passed as input to a local improvement algorithm (see function improve).
setseed	logical variable indicating whether to fix an initial seed for the random number generator, which will be re-used in future calls to this function whenever setseed is again set to TRUE.
cooling	variable in the ]0,1[ interval indicating the rate of geometric cooling for the Simulated Annealing algorithm.
temp	positive variable indicating the initial temperature for the Simulated Annealing algorithm.
coolfreq	positive integer indicating the number of iterations of the algorithm between coolings of the temperature. By default, the temperature is cooled at every iteration.
criterion	Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "RM", "RV", "GCD", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef and ccr12.coef for further details). The default criterion is "Rm" if parameter r is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if r is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).
pcindices	either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the GCD criterion only, see gcd.coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to $k$ with each cardinality $k$ that has been requested by the user.
initialsol	vector, matrix or 3-d array of initial solutions for the simulated annealing search. If a <i>single cardinality</i> is required, initialsol may be a vector of length $k$ , in which case it is used as the initial solution for all nsol final solutions that are requested; a 1 x k matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets output value of the algorithm functions anneal, genetic, or improve), or a 1 x k x 1 array (as produced by the \$subsets output value), in which case it will be treated as the above k-vector; or an nsol x k matrix, or nsol x k x 1 3-d array, in which case each row (dimension 1) will be used as the initial solution for each of the nsol final solutions requested. If <i>more than one cardinality</i> is requested, initialsol can be a length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets option of the algorithm functions), in which case each row will be replicated to produced the initial solution for all nsol final solutions requested in each cardinality, or a nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-d array (as produced by the \$subsets output option), in which case each row (dimension 1) is interpreted as a different initial solution. If the exclude and/or include options are used, initialsol must also respect those requirements.
force	a logical variable indicating whether, for large data sets (currently $p > 400$ ) the algorithm should proceed anyways, regardless of possible memory problems which may crash the R session.

Н	Effect description matrix. Not used with the RM, RV or GCD criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below.
r	Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the RM, RV or GCD criteria. See the Details section below.
tolval	the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the correlation/covariance matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search for well conditioned subsets.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .

## Details

An initial k-variable subset (for k ranging from kmin to kmax) of a full set of p variables is randomly selected and passed on to a Simulated Annealing algorithm. The algorithm then selects a random subset in the neighbourhood of the current subset (neighbourhood of a subset S being defined as the family of all k-variable subsets which differ from S by a single variable), and decides whether to replace the current subset according to the Simulated Annealing rule, i.e., either (i) always, if the alternative subset's value of the criterion is higher; or (ii) with probability  $\exp \frac{ac-cc}{t}$  if the alternative subset's value of the criterion (ac) is lower than that of the current solution (cc), where the parameter t (temperature) decreases throughout the iterations of the algorithm. For each cardinality k, the stopping criterion for the algorithm is the number of iterations (niter) which is controlled by the user are the initial temperature (temp) the rate of geometric cooling of the temperature (cooling) and the frequency with which the temperature is cooled, as measured by coolfreq, the number of iterations after which the temperature is multiplied by 1-cooling.

Optionally, the best k-variable subset produced by Simulated Annealing may be passed as input to a restricted local search algorithm, for possible further improvement.

The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the k-subsets, and may specify initial solutions.

For each cardinality k, the total number of calls to the procedure which computes the criterion values is nsol x (niter + 1). These calls are the dominant computational effort in each iteration of the algorithm.

In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations is carried out by a Fortran routine. Further details about the Simulated Annealing algorithm can be found in Reference 1 and in the comments to the Fortran code (in the src subdirectory for this package). For datasets with a very large number of variables (currently p > 400), it is necessary to set the force argument to TRUE for the function to run, but this may cause a session crash if there is not enough memory available.

The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.

In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See references [1] and [2] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.

In the setting of a multivariate linear model,  $X = A\Psi + U$ , criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation of a reference hypothesis,  $C\Psi = 0$  (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when  $r \leq$ 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.

In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [4] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and H should be set respectively to FI and FI %\*% b %\*% t(b) %\*% FI, where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

## Value

A list with five items:

subsets	An nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each car- dinality (dimension 3) and each solution (dimension 1) the list of variables (ref- erenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero).
values	An nsol x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the criterion values of the nsol (rows) subsets obtained.
bestvalues	A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the best values of the criterion obtained for each cardinality. If improvement is TRUE, these values result from the final restricted local search algorithm (and may therefore exceed the largest value for that cardinality in values).
bestsets	A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best k-subset that was found.
call	The function call which generated the output.

#### References

[1] Cadima, J., Cerdeira, J. Orestes and Minhoto, M. (2004) Computational aspects of algorithms for variable selection in the context of principal components. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 47, 225-236.

[2] Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*, Vol. 6, 62-79. [3] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, Vol. 76, 35-62.

[4] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, *Biometrics*, Vol. 34, 318-327.

## See Also

rm.coef,rv.coef,gcd.coef,tau2.coef,xi2.coef,zeta2.coef,ccr12.coef,genetic,anneal, eleaps,trim.matrix,lmHmat,ldaHmat,glhHmat,glmHmat.

## Examples

```
##
## (1) For illustration of use, a small data set with very few iterations
## of the algorithm, using the RM criterion.
##
data(swiss)
anneal(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,niter=10,criterion="RM")
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
        Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 3 6 0
##Solution 2 4 5
                       0
##Solution 3 1 2
                       0
##Solution 4 3 6
                       0
##
##, , Card.3
##
      Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 4 5
                       6
##Solution 2
           3 5
                       6
##Solution 3 3 4 6
##Solution 4 4 5 6
##
##
##$values
##
            card.2
                    card.3
##Solution 1 0.8016409 0.9043760
##Solution 2 0.7982296 0.8769672
##Solution 3 0.7945390 0.8777509
##Solution 4 0.8016409 0.9043760
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2
           Card.3
##0.8016409 0.9043760
##
##$bestsets
```

```
##
   Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Card.2 3 6 0
##Card.3 4 5 6
##
##$call
##anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, niter = 10, criterion = "RM")
## -----
##
## (2) An example excluding variable number 6 from the subsets.
##
data(swiss)
anneal(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,niter=10,criterion="RM",exclude=c(6))
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Solution 1 4 5 0
##Solution 2 4 5 0
##Solution 3 4 5 0
##Solution 4 4 5 0
##
##, , Card.3
##
##
      Var.1 Var.2 Var.3

    ##Solution 1
    1
    2
    5

    ##Solution 2
    1
    2
    5

    ##Solution 3
    1
    2
    5

##Solution 4 1 4 5
##
##
##$values
##
             card.2 card.3
##Solution 1 0.7982296 0.8791856
##Solution 2 0.7982296 0.8791856
##Solution 3 0.7982296 0.8791856
##Solution 4 0.7982296 0.8686515
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
##0.7982296 0.8791856
##
##$bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Card.2 4 5 0
##Card.3 1 2
                       5
##
##$call
##anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, niter = 10, criterion = "RM",
## exclude=c(6))
```

```
## (3) An example specifying initial solutions: using the subsets produced
## by simulated annealing for one criterion (RM, by default) as initial
## solutions for the simulated annealing search with a different criterion.
data(swiss)
rmresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,niter=10, setseed=TRUE)</pre>
anneal(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,niter=10,criterion="gcd",
initialsol=rmresults$subsets)
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
##
     Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Solution 1 3 6 0
##Solution 2 3 6 0
##Solution 3 3 6 0
##Solution 4 3 6 0
##
##, , Card.3
##
        Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 4 5 6
           4 5 6
3 4 6
4 5 6
##Solution 2
##Solution 3
##Solution 4
##
##
##$values
##
            card.2 card.3
##Solution 1 0.8487026 0.925372
##Solution 2 0.8487026 0.925372
##Solution 3 0.8487026 0.798864
##Solution 4 0.8487026 0.925372
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
##0.8487026 0.9253720
##
##$bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Card.2 3 6 0
##Card.3 4 5 6
##
##$call
##anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, niter = 10, criterion = "gcd",
##
   initialsol = rmresults$subsets)
## ------
```

## (4) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear

## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library ## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. A best subset of linear ## predictors is sought, using the "TAU\_2" criterion which, in the case ## of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient of Determination, ## R^2 (like the other three criteria for the multivariate linear hypothesis, ## "XI\_2", "CCR1\_2" and "ZETA\_2"). library(MASS) data(Cars93) CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5]) names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE]) ## [1] "Price" colnames(CarsHmat\$mat) ## [1] "MPG.city" "MPG.highway" "EngineSize" ## [4] "Horsepower" "RPM" "Rev.per.mile" ## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" "Length" ## [10] "Wheelbase" "Width" "Turn.circle" ## [13] "Weight" anneal(CarsHmat\$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat\$H, r=1, crit="tau2") ## \$subsets ## , , Card.4 ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## ## Solution 1 4 5 10 11 0 0 ## ## , , Card.5 ## ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## Solution 1 4 5 10 11 12 0 ## ## , , Card.6 ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## ## Solution 1 4 5 9 10 11 12 ## ## ## \$values ## card.4 card.5 card.6 ## Solution 1 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.731015 ## ## \$bestvalues ## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6 ## 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150 ## ## \$bestsets ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## Card.4 4 5 10 11 0 0 ## Card.5 4 5 10 11 12 0

```
## Card.6
           4 5
                   9
                         10
                              11
                                   12
##
## $call
## anneal(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, criterion = "xi2",
##
     H = CarsHmat, r = 1)
##
## ------
## (5) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We consider the Iris data and three groups, defined by species (setosa,
## versicolor and virginica). The goal is to select the 2- and 3-variable
## subsets that are optimal for the linear discrimination (as measured
## by the "CCR1_2" criterion).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)</pre>
anneal(irisHmat$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,crit="ccr12")
## $subsets
## , , Card.2
##
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 1 3 0
##
## , , Card.3
##
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 2 3 4
##
##
## $values
##
             card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 0.9589055 0.967897
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
## 0.9589055 0.9678971
##
## $bestsets
##
   Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.2 1 3 0
## Card.3
                3
           2
                      4
##
## $call
## anneal(irisHmat$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,crit="ccr12")
##
## ------
## (6) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical
```

10

## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93

```
## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to
## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in
## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the
## "XI_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept
## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X'
## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry
## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons,
## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of
## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced
## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2.
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])
names(Cars93[,4:6])
## [1] "Min.Price" "Price"
                             "Max.Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
## [1] "MPG.city"
                           "MPG.highway"
                                                "EngineSize"
## [4] "Horsepower"
                           "RPM"
                                                "Rev.per.mile"
## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers"
                                                "Length"
## [10] "Wheelbase"
                           "Width"
                                                "Turn.circle"
## [13] "Weight"
anneal(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=CarsHmat$r,
crit="tau2" , tolsym=1e-9)
## $subsets
## , , Card.4
##
##
             Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 4 9 10 11 0 0
##
## , , Card.5
##
             Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
##
## Solution 1 3 4 9 10 11 0
##
## , , Card.6
##
##
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 3 4 5 9 10 11
##
##
## $values
                card.4 card.5
                                 card.6
##
## Solution 1 0.2818772 0.2943742 0.3057831
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6
## 0.2818772 0.2943742 0.3057831
```

```
##
## $bestsets
##
        Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Card.4 4 9 10 11 0 0
                          10
## Card.5
         3 4 9
                               11
                                      0
                      5
## Card.6 3 4
                          9
                               10
                                     11
##
## $call
## anneal(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, criterion = "xi2",
      H = CarsHmat$H, r = CarsHmat$r, tolsym = 1e-09)
##
##
## Warning message:
##
## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)
## ------
## (7) An example of variable selection in the context of a logistic
## regression model. We consider the last 100 observations of
## the iris data set (versicolor and verginica species) and try
## to find the best variable subsets for the model that takes species
## as response variable.
data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]</pre>
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,</pre>
iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)</pre>
anneal(Hmat$mat,1,3,H=Hmat$H,r=1,criterion="Wald")
## $subsets
## , , Card.1
##
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 4 0 0
## , , Card.2
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Solution 1 1 3 0
## , , Card.3
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1
              2 3 4
## $values
            card.1 card.2 card.3
##
```

## ccr12.coef

```
## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## $bestvalues
## Card.1 Card.2 Card.3
## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.1 4 0 0
## Card.2
         1
                3
                     0
## Card.3 2 3
                      4
## $call
## anneal(mat = Hmat$mat, kmin = 1, kmax = 3, criterion = "Wald",
##
    H = Hmat, r = 1)
## -----
## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the
## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by
## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the
## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized
## counterparts.
```

ccr12.coef	First Squared Canonical Correlation for a multivariate linear hypoth-
	esis

## Description

Computes the first squared canonical correlation. The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the maximization of the Roy first root.

#### Usage

```
ccr12.coef(mat, H, r, indices,
tolval=10*.Machine$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

# Arguments

mat	the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
Н	the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
r	the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details section below.
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different <i>k</i> -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.

tolval	the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are consid- ered equivalent to zero.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .

#### **Details**

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:

$$X = A\Psi + U$$

where X is the (nxp) data matrix of original variables, A is a known (nxp) design matrix,  $\Psi$  an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and U an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The  $ccr_1^2$  index is related to the traditional test statistic (the Roy first root) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form  $C\Psi = 0$ , where C is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Roy first root is the first eigen value of  $HE^{-1}$ , where H is the Effect matrix and E is the Error matrix. The index  $ccr_1^2$  is related to the Roy first root ( $\lambda_1$ ) by:

$$ccr_1^2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{1+\lambda_1}$$

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the  $ccr_1^2$  values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and anneal (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

#### Value

The value of the  $ccr_1^2$  coefficient.

#### Examples

```
## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We considered the Iris data and three groups,
## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
ccr12.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,c(1,3))
## [1] 0.9589055
## ------
## 2) An example computing the value of the ccr1_2 criteria for two
## subsets produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize
## the zeta_2 criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).
```

## eleaps

```
zetaresults<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="zeta2",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
ccr12.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,zetaresults$subsets)
## Card.2
##Solution 1 0.9526304
##Solution 2 0.9558787
## ------
```

eleaps

A Leaps and Bounds Algorithm for finding the best variable subsets

## Description

An exact Algorithm for optimizing criteria that measure the quality of k-dimensional variable subsets as approximations to a given set of variables, or to a set of its Principal Components.

## Usage

```
eleaps(mat,kmin=length(include)+1,kmax=ncol(mat)-length(exclude)-1,nsol=1,
exclude=NULL,include=NULL,criterion="default",pcindices="first_k",timelimit=15,
H=NULL,r=0, tolval=1000*.Machine$double.eps,
tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps,maxaperr=1E-4)
```

## Arguments

mat	a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin	the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax	the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
nsol	the number of different subsets of each cardinality that are requested .
exclude	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
include	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included in the subsets.
criterion	Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "Rm", "Rv", "Gcd", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "Ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef, ccr12.coef and wald.coef for further details). The default cri- terion is "Rm" if parameter r is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if r is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).

pcindices	either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the Gcd criterion only, see gcd.coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to $k$ with each cardinality $k$ that has been requested by the user.
timelimit	a user specified limit (in seconds) for the maximum time allowed to conduct the search. After this limit is exceeded, eleaps exits with a waring message stating that it was not possible to find the otpimal subsets within the allocated time.
Н	Effect description matrix. Not used with the Rm, $Rv$ or Gcd criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below.
r	Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the Rm, $R\nu$ or Gcd criteria. See the Details section below.
tolval	the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the cor- relation/covariance or sums of squares matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search (for well conditioned sets as defined by the value of the maxaperr argument) algorithm.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .
maxaperr	the tolerance level for the relative rounding error of the criterion. When a re- stricted search in employed subsets where a first order estimate of this error is higher than maxaperr will be excluded from the analysis.

#### Details

For each cardinality k (with k ranging from kmin to kmax), eleaps performs a branch and bound search for the best nsol variable subsets according to a specified criterion. Leaps implements Duarte Silva's adaptation (references [2] and [3]) of Furnival and Wilson's Leaps and Bounds Algorithm (reference [4]) for variable selection in Regression Analysis. If the search is not completed within a user defined time limit, eleaps exits with a warning message.

The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the k-subsets.

In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations are carried out by C++ routines. Further details about the Algorithm can be found in references [2] and [3] and in the comments to the C++ code. A discussion of the criteria considered can be found in References [1] and [3].

The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.

In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See reference [1] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.

In the setting of a multivariate linear model,  $X = A\Psi + U$ , criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation

#### eleaps

of a reference hypothesis,  $C\Psi = 0$  (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when  $r \leq$ 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed, and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.

In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [5] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and H should be set respectively to FI and FI %\*% b %\*% t(b) %\*% FI, where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

#### Value

A list with five items:

subsets	An nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each car- dinality (dimension 3) and each solution (dimension 1) the list of variables (ref- erenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero).
values	An nsol x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the criterion values of the best nsol (rows) subsets according to the chosen criterion.
bestvalues	A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the overall best values of the criterion for each cardinality.
bestsets	A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best k-subset.
call	The function call which generated the output.

## References

[1] Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*, Vol. 6, 62-79.

[2] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, Journal of Multivariate Analysis Vol. 76, 35-62.

[3] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2002) Discarding Variables in a Principal Component Analysis: Algorithms for All-Subsets Comparisons, *Computational Statistics*, Vol. 17, 251-271.

[4] Furnival, G.M. and Wilson, R.W. (1974). Regressions by Leaps and Bounds, *Technometrics*, Vol. 16, 499-511.

[5] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, *Biometrics*, Vol. 34, 318-327.

## See Also

```
rm.coef,rv.coef,gcd.coef,tau2.coef,wald.coef,xi2.coef,zeta2.coef,ccr12.coef,anneal,
genetic,anneal,trim.matrix,lmHmat,ldaHmat,glhHmat,glmHmat.
```

#### Examples

```
## -----
##
## 1) For illustration of use, a small data set.
## Subsets of variables of all cardinalities are sought using the
## RM criterion.
##
data(swiss)
eleaps(cor(swiss),nsol=3, criterion="RM")
##$subsets
##, , Card.1
##
##
       Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5
##Solution 1 3 0 0 0 0
                      0
##Solution 2 1 0 0
                           0
##Solution 3 4 0 0 0 0
##
##, , Card.2
##
     Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5
##
##Solution 1 3 6 0 0 0
##Solution 2 4 5 0 0 0
##Solution 3 1 2 0 0 0
##
##, , Card.3
##
##
   Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5
##Solution 1 4 5 6 0 0
##Solution 2 1 2 5 0
                            0
##Solution 3 3 4 6 0 0
##
##, , Card.4
##
       Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5
##
##Solution 1 2 4 5 6 0
##Solution 2 1 2 5 6 0
         1 4 5 6 0
##Solution 3
##
##, , Card.5
##
##
     Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5
##Solution 1 1 2 3 5 6
##Solution 2 1 2 4 5 6
##Solution 3 2 3 4 5 6
```

eleaps

```
##
##
##$values
##
            card.1 card.2 card.3 card.4
                                            card.5
##Solution 1 0.6729689 0.8016409 0.9043760 0.9510757 0.9804629
##Solution 2 0.6286185 0.7982296 0.8791856 0.9506434 0.9776338
##Solution 3 0.6286130 0.7945390 0.8777509 0.9395708 0.9752551
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.1 Card.2 Card.3 Card.4
                                   Card.5
##0.6729689 0.8016409 0.9043760 0.9510757 0.9804629
##
##$bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5
##Card.1 3 0 0 0 0
            6
       3
##Card.2
                   0
                       0
                            0
##Card.3 4 5
                          0
                 6 0
       2 4 5 6 0
##Card.4
             2
                 3 5
##Card.5 1
                            6
##
##$call
##eleaps(cor(swiss), nsol = 3, criterion="RM")
##
## 2) Asking only for 2- and 3- dimensional subsets and excluding
## variable number 6.
##
data(swiss)
eleaps(cor(swiss),2,3,exclude=6,nsol=3,criterion="rm")
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
  Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 4 5 0
               2
            1
##Solution 2
                      0
           1 3 0
##Solution 3
##
##, , Card.3
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 1 2 5
##Solution 2
            1 4
                      5
##Solution 3
           2 4 5
##
##
##$values
            card.2 card.3
##
##Solution 1 0.7982296 0.8791856
```

```
##Solution 2 0.7945390 0.8686515
##Solution 3 0.7755232 0.8628693
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
##0.7982296 0.8791856
##
##$bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Card.2 4 5 0
##Card.3 1 2 5
##
##$call
##eleaps(cor(swiss), 2, 3, exclude = 6, nsol = 3, criterion = "gcd")
## -----
##
## 3) Searching for 2- and 3- dimensional subsets that best approximate
## the spaces generated by the first three Principal Components
##
data(swiss)
eleaps(cor(swiss),2,3,criterion="gcd",pcindices=1:3,nsol=3)
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
     Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 4 5 0
##Solution 2 5 6 0
##Solution 3 4 6 0
##
##, , Card.3
##
     Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
##Solution 1 4 5 6
           3 5
##Solution 2
                        6
           2 5 6
##Solution 3
##
##
##$values
##
            card.2 card.3
##Solution 1 0.7831827 0.9253684
##Solution 2 0.7475630 0.8459302
##Solution 3 0.7383665 0.8243032
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
##0.7831827 0.9253684
##
##$bestsets
```

eleaps

```
##
    Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##Card.2 4 5 0
##Card.3 4 5
                      6
##
##$call
##eleaps(cor(swiss), 2, 3, criterion = "gcd", pcindices = 1:3, nsol = 3)
## -----
##
## 4) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear
## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library
## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. A best subset of linear
## predictors is sought, using the default criterion ("TAU_2") which,
## in the case of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient
## of Determination, R^2 (as are the other three criteria for the
## multivariate linear hypothesis, "XI_2", "CCR1_2" and "ZETA_2").
##
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])</pre>
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])
## [1] "Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
                         "MPG.highway"
## [1] "MPG.city"
                                            "EngineSize"
## [4] "Horsepower"
                         "RPM"
                                            "Rev.per.mile"
## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers"
                                            "Length"
## [10] "Wheelbase"
                         "Width"
                                            "Turn.circle"
## [13] "Weight"
eleaps(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=1)
## $subsets
## , , Card.4
##
##
       Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 4 5 10 11 0 0
##
## , , Card.5
##
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 4 5 10 11 12 0
##
## , , Card.6
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
##
## Solution 1 4 5 9 10 11 12
```

```
##
##
## $values
##
             card.4 card.5 card.6
## Solution 1 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.731015
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6
## 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Card.4 4 5 10 11 0 0
## Card.5
           4
                5
                     10
                          11
                               12
                                     0
## Card.6
           4
              5
                     9
                          10
                               11
                                    12
##
## -----
## 5) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We consider the Iris data and three groups, defined by species (setosa,
## versicolor and virginica). The goal is to select the 2- and 3-variable
## subsets that are optimal for the linear discrimination (as measured
## by the "CCR1_2" criterion).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)</pre>
eleaps(irisHmat$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,crit="ccr12")
## $subsets
## , , Card.2
##
##
         Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 1 3 0
##
## , , Card.3
##
   Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Solution 1 2 3 4
##
##
## $values
##
              card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 0.9589055 0.967897
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.2
           Card.3
## 0.9589055 0.9678971
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.2 1 3 0
## Card.3 2 3 4
```

eleaps

## ------## 6) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical ## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93 ## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to ## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in ## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the ## "ZETA\_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept ## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X' ## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry ## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons, ## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of ## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced ## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2. library(MASS) data(Cars93) CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])</pre> names(Cars93[,4:6]) ## [1] "Min.Price" "Price" "Max.Price" ## colnames(CarsHmat\$mat) ## [1] "MPG.city" "MPG.highway" "EngineSize" ## [4] "Horsepower" "RPM" "Rev.per.mile" ## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" "Length" ## [10] "Wheelbase" "Width" "Turn.circle" ## [13] "Weight" eleaps(CarsHmat\$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat\$H, r=3, crit="zeta2", tolsym=1e-9) ## \$subsets ## , , Card.4 ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## ## Solution 1 3 4 10 11 0 0 ## ## , , Card.5 ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## ## Solution 1 4 5 9 10 11 0 ## ## , , Card.6 ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## ## Solution 1 4 5 9 10 11 12 ##

```
##
## $values
##
              card.4 card.5 card.6
## Solution 1 0.4827353 0.5018922 0.5168627
##
## $bestvalues
##
   Card.4
            Card.5 Card.6
## 0.4827353 0.5018922 0.5168627
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Card.4 3 4 10 11 0 0
           4
                59
                         10
## Card.5
                                11
                                     0
          4 5 9 10
## Card.6
                              11
                                     12
##
## Warning message:
##
## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)
## 7) An example of variable selection in the context of a logistic
## regression model. We consider the last 100 observations of
## the iris data set (versicolor an verginica species) and try
## to find the best variable subsets for the model that takes species
## as response variable.
data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]</pre>
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,</pre>
iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)</pre>
eleaps(Hmat$mat,H=Hmat$H,r=1,criterion="Wald",nsol=3)
## $subsets
## , , Card.1
           Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
            4 0 0
## Solution 1
## Solution 2
              1 0
                         0
## Solution 3
              3 0 0
## , , Card.2
         Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Solution 1 1 3 0
               3 4 0
## Solution 2
              2 4 0
## Solution 3
```

```
farm
```

```
## , , Card.3
##
           Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 2 3 4
## Solution 2
            1 3
                         4
            1 2
                         3
## Solution 3
## $values
##
             card.1 card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## Solution 2 5.147360 3.952538 2.224335
## Solution 3 5.161553 3.972410 3.522879
## $bestvalues
## Card.1 Card.2 Card.3
## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## $bestsets
##
   Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.1 4 0 0
## Card.2 1 3 0
         2 3
## Card.3
                      4
## $call
## eleaps(mat = Hmat$mat, nsol = 3, criterion = "Wald", H = Hmat$H,
    r = 1)
##
## -----
## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the
## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by
## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the
## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized
## counterparts.
```

farm

Sixty-two economic indicators from 99 Portuguese farms.

## Description

This data set is a very small subset of economic data regarding Portuguese farms in the mid-1990s, from Portugal's Ministry of Agriculture

## Usage

farm

# Format

A 99x62 matrix. The 62 columns are numeric economic indicators, referenced by their database code. Monetary units are in thousands of Escudos (Portugal's pre-Euro currency).

Column Number	Column Name	Units	Description
[,1]	R15	1000 Escudos	Total Standard Gross Margins (SGM)
[,1]	R24	Hectares	Total land surface
[,2]	R35	Hectares	Total cultivated surface
[,4]	R36	Man Work Units	Total Man Work Units
[,-]	R46	1000 Escudos	Land Capital
[,6]	R59	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Total Capital (without forests)
[,0]	R65	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Total Loans and Debts
[,7]	R72	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Total Investment
[,8]	R72 R79	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Subsidies for Investment
[,10]	R86	1000 Escudos	Gross Plant Product Formation
[,11]	R91	1000 Escudos	Gross Animal Product Formation
[,11]	R104	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Current Subsidies
[,12]	R110	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Wheat Production
[,13]	R111	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Maize Production
[,14]	R113	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Other Cereals (except rice) Production
[,15]	R113	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Dried Legumes Production
[,10]	R115	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Potato Production
[,17]	R116	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Industrial horticulture and Melon Production
[,19]	R117	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Open-air horticultural Production
[,19]	R118	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Horticultural forcing Production
[,20]	R119	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Flower Production
[,21]	R121	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Sub-products Production
[,22]	R121 R122	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Fruit Production
[,23]	R122 R123	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Olive Production
[,24]	R123	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Wine Production
[,25]	R124 R125	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Horses
[,20]	R125	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Bovines (excluding milk)
[,27]	R120	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Milk and dairy products
[,28]	R129	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Sheep
[,29]	R129 R132	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Goats
[,30]	R132	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Pigs
[,31]	R135	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Birds
[,32]	R140	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Bees
[,33]	R140	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Other animals (except rabbits)
[,34]	R144	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Wood production
[,36]	R145	1000 Escudos 1000 Escudos	Other forest products (except cork)
[,30]	R146	Hectares	Land surface affected to cereals
[,37]	R140	Hectares	Land surface affected to dry legumes
[,39]	R152	Hectares	Land surface affected to uty regulies
[,39]	R152 R158	Hectares	Land surface affected to potatos
[,40]	R159	Hectares	Land surface affected to nuits
[,41]	R160	Hectares	Land surface affected to vineyards
[,42]	R164	Hectares	Fallow land surface area
[,+3]	IX10 <del>T</del>	metares	i anow fand surface area

# gcd.coef

[,44]	R166	Hectares	Forest surface area
[,45]	R168	Head	Bovines
[,46]	R174	Head	Adult sheep
[,47]	R176	Head	Adult goats
[,48]	R178	Head	Adult pigs
[,49]	R209	Kg/hectare	Maize yield
[,50]	R211	Kg/hectare	Barley yield
[,51]	R214	Kg/hectare	Potato yield
[,52]	R215	L/cow/year	Cow milk productivity
[,53]	R233	1000 Escudos	Wages and social expenditure
[,54]	R237	1000 Escudos	Taxes and tariffs
[,55]	R245	1000 Escudos	Interest and financial costs
[,56]	R250	1000 Escudos	Total real costs
[,57]	R252	1000 Escudos	Gross Product
[,58]	R256	1000 Escudos	Gross Agricultural Product
[,59]	R258	1000 Escudos	Gross Value Added (GVA)
[,60]	R263	1000 Escudos	Final Results
[,61]	R270	1000 Escudos	Family labour income
[,62]	R271	1000 Escudos	Capital Income

## Source

Obtained directly from the source.

gcd.coef	Computes Yanai's GCD in the context of the variable-subset selection
	problem

# Description

Computes Yanai's Generalized Coefficient of Determination for the similarity of the subspaces spanned by a subset of variables and a subset of the full data set's Principal Components.

# Usage

```
gcd.coef(mat, indices, pcindices = NULL)
```

## Arguments

mat	the full data set's covariance (or correlation) matrix.
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different <i>k</i> -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
pcindices	a numerical vector of indices of Principal Components. By default, the first $k$ PCs are chosen, where $k$ is the cardinality of the subset of variables whose criterion value is being computed. If a vector of PCs is specified by the user, those PCs will be used for all cardinalities that were requested.

## Details

Computes Yanai's Generalized Coefficient of Determination for the similarity of the subspaces spanned by a subset of variables (specified by indices) and a subset of the full-data set's Principal Components (specified by pcindices). Input data is expected in the form of a (co)variance or correlation matrix. If a non-square matrix is given, it is assumed to be a data matrix, and its correlation matrix is used as input. The number of variables (k) and of PCs (q) does not have to be the same.

Yanai's GCD is defined as:

$$GCD = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(P_v \cdot P_c)}{\sqrt{k \cdot q}}$$

where  $P_v$  and  $P_c$  are the matrices of orthogonal projections on the subspaces spanned by the k-variable subset and by the q-Principal Component subset, respectively.

This definition is equivalent to:

$$GCD = \frac{1}{\sqrt{kq}} \sum_{i} (r_m)_i^2$$

where  $(r_m)_i$  stands for the multiple correlation between the i-th Principal Component and the k-variable subset, and the sum is carried out over the q PCs (i=1,...,q) selected.

These definitions are also equivalent to the expression used in the code, which only requires the covariance (or correlation) matrix of the data under consideration.

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the GCD values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic and improve (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

## Value

The value of the GCD coefficient.

## References

Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001), "Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces", *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*, Vol. 6, 62-79.

Ramsay, J.O., ten Berge, J. and Styan, G.P.H. (1984), "Matrix Correlation", *Psychometrika*, 49, 403-423.

## Examples

```
## An example with a very small data set.
```

```
data(iris3)
x<-iris3[,,1]
gcd.coef(cor(x),c(1,3))
## [1] 0.7666286
gcd.coef(cor(x),c(1,3),pcindices=c(1,3))
## [1] 0.584452
gcd.coef(cor(x),c(1,3),pcindices=1)
## [1] 0.6035127</pre>
```

## An example computing the GCDs of three subsets produced when the

## genetic

```
## anneal function attempted to optimize the RV criterion (using an
## absurdly small number of iterations).
data(swiss)
rvresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,nsol=4,niter=5,criterion="Rv")
gcd.coef(cor(swiss),rvresults$subsets)
## Card.2
##Solution 1 0.4962297
##Solution 2 0.7092591
##Solution 3 0.4748525
##Solution 4 0.4649259</pre>
```

genetic

Genetic Algorithm searching for an optimal k-variable subset

#### Description

Given a set of variables, a Genetic Algorithm algorithm seeks a k-variable subset which is optimal, as a surrogate for the whole set, with respect to a given criterion.

#### Usage

```
genetic( mat, kmin, kmax = kmin, popsize = max(100,2*ncol(mat)), nger = 100,
mutate = FALSE, mutprob = 0.01, maxclone = 5, exclude = NULL,
include = NULL, improvement = TRUE, setseed= FALSE, criterion = "default",
pcindices = "first_k", initialpop = NULL, force = FALSE, H=NULL, r=0,
tolval=1000*.Machine$double.eps,tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

## Arguments

mat	a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin	the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax	the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
popsize	integer variable indicating the size of the population.
nger	integer variable giving the number of generations for which the genetic algorithm will run.
mutate	logical variable indicating whether each child undergoes a mutation, with prob- ability mutprob. By default, FALSE.
mutprob	variable giving the probability of each child undergoing a mutation, if mutate is TRUE. By default, 0.01. High values slow down the algorithm considerably and tend to replicate the same solution.

maxclone	integer variable specifying the maximum number of identical replicates (clones) of individuals that is acceptable in the population. Serves to ensure that the population has sufficient genetic diversity, which is necessary to enable the algorithm to complete the specified number of generations. However, even max-clone=0 does not guarantee that there are no repetitions: only the offspring of couples are tested for clones. If any such clones are rejected, they are replaced by a k-variable subset chosen at random, without any further clone tests.
exclude	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
include	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included in the subsets.
improvement	a logical variable indicating whether or not the best final subset (for each cardinality) is to be passed as input to a local improvement algorithm (see function improve).
setseed	logical variable indicating whether to fix an initial seed for the random number generator, which will be re-used in future calls to this function whenever setseed is again set to TRUE.
criterion	Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "Rm", "Rv", "Gcd", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef and ccr12.coef for further details). The default criterion is "Rm" if parameter r is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if r is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).
pcindices	either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the Gcd criterion only, see gcd.coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to $k$ with each cardinality $k$ that has been requested by the user.
initialpop	vector, matrix or 3-d array of initial population for the genetic algorithm. If a <i>single cardinality</i> is required, initialpop may be a popsize x k matrix or a popsize x k x 1 array (as produced by the \$subsets output value of any of the algorithm functions anneal, genetic, or improve). If <i>more than one cardinal-ity</i> is requested, initialpop must be a popsize x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-d array (as produced by the \$subsets output value). If the exclude and/or include options are used, initialpop must also respect
	those requirements.
force	a logical variable indicating whether, for large data sets (currently $p > 400$ ) the algorithm should proceed anyways, regardless of possible memory problems which may crash the R session.
Н	Effect description matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below.
r	Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria. See the Details section below.

## genetic

tolval	the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the correlation/covariance matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search for well conditioned subsets.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .

#### Details

For each cardinality k (with k ranging from kmin to kmax), an initial population of popsize k-variable subsets is randomly selected from a full set of p variables. In each iteration, popsize/2 couples are formed from among the population and each couple generates a child (a new k-variable subset) which inherits properties of its parents (specifically, it inherits all variables common to both parents and a random selection of variables in the symmetric difference of its parents' genetic makeup). Each offspring may optionally undergo a mutation (in the form of a local improvement algorithm – see function improve), with a user-specified probability. The parents and offspring are ranked according to their criterion value, and the best popsize of these k-subsets will make up the next generation, which is used as the current population in the subsequent iteration.

The stopping rule for the algorithm is the number of generations (nger).

Optionally, the best *k*-variable subset produced by the Genetic Algorithm may be passed as input to a restricted local improvement algorithm, for possible further improvement (see function improve).

The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the *k*-subsets, and may specify an initial population.

For each cardinality k, the total number of calls to the procedure which computes the criterion values is  $popsize + nger \ge popsize/2$ . These calls are the dominant computational effort in each iteration of the algorithm.

In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations are carried out by a Fortran routine. Further details about the Genetic Algorithm can be found in Reference 1 and in the comments to the Fortran code (in the src subdirectory for this package). For datasets with a very large number of variables (currently p > 400), it is necessary to set the force argument to TRUE for the function to run, but this may cause a session crash if there is not enough memory available.

The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.

In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See references [1] and [2] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.

In the setting of a multivariate linear model,  $X = A\Psi + U$ , criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation of a reference hypothesis,  $C\Psi = 0$  (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when  $r \leq$  3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.

In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [4] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and H should be set respectively to FI and FI %\*% b %\*% t(b) %\*% FI, where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

#### Value

A list with five items:

subsets	A popsize x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each cardinality (dimension 3) and each subset in the final population (dimension 1) the list of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero).
values	A popsize x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the (ordered) criterion values of the popsize (rows) subsets in the final generation.
bestvalues	A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the best values of the criterion obtained for each cardinality. If improvement is TRUE, these values result from the final restricted local search algorithm (and may therefore exceed the largest value for that cardinality in values).
bestsets	A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best k-subset that was found.
call	The function call which generated the output.

## References

[1] Cadima, J., Cerdeira, J. Orestes and Minhoto, M. (2004) Computational aspects of algorithms for variable selection in the context of principal components. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 47, 225-236.

[2] Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*, Vol. 6, 62-79.

[3] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, Vol. 76, 35-62.

[4] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, *Biometrics*, Vol. 34, 318-327.

#### genetic

## See Also

```
rm.coef,rv.coef,gcd.coef,tau2.coef,xi2.coef,zeta2.coef,ccr12.coef,genetic,anneal,
eleaps,trim.matrix,lmHmat,ldaHmat,glhHmat,glmHmat.
```

#### Examples

```
## -----
##
## 1) For illustration of use, a small data set with very few iterations
## of the algorithm. Escoufier's 'RV' criterion is used to select variable
## subsets of size 3 and 4.
##
data(swiss)
genetic(cor(swiss),3,4,popsize=10,nger=5,criterion="Rv")
## For cardinality k=
##[1] 4
## there is not enough genetic diversity in generation number
##[1] 3
## for acceptable levels of consanguinity (couples differing by at least 2 genes).
## Try reducing the maximum acceptable number of clones (maxclone) or
## increasing the population size (popsize)
## Best criterion value found so far:
##[1] 0.9557145
##$subsets
##, , Card.3
##
##
                Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4
##Solution 1 1 2 3 0
                   1 2 3
##Solution 2
                                          0

      ##Solution 2
      1
      2
      3

      ##Solution 3
      1
      2
      3

      ##Solution 4
      3
      4
      6

      ##Solution 5
      3
      4
      6

      ##Solution 6
      3
      4
      5

                                         0
                                         0
                                         0
                                         0
##Solution 7
                  3 4 5
                                         0
##Solution 8
##Solution 9
                   1 3 6 0
                   1 3 6 0
##Solution 10 1 3 6 0
##
##, , Card.4
##
##
                Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4
##Solution 1 2 4 5
                                         6
                        2
                                 5
##Solution 2
                   1
                                          6
                    1 2
                                 3
##Solution 3
                                         5
                   1 2
##Solution 4
                                  4
                                         5
##Solution 5
                   1 2
                                  4
                                        5

      ##Solution 6
      1
      4
      5
      6

      ##Solution 7
      1
      4
      5
      6

      ##Solution 8
      1
      4
      5
      6
```

```
3
3
##Solution 9
                                 5
                1
                           4
##Solution 10
                           4
                                 5
                1
##
##
##$values
##
               card.3
                        card.4
##Solution 1 0.9141995 0.9557145
##Solution 2 0.9141995 0.9485699
##Solution 3 0.9141995 0.9455508
##Solution 4 0.9034868 0.9433203
##Solution 5 0.9034868 0.9433203
##Solution 6 0.9020271 0.9428967
##Solution 7 0.9020271 0.9428967
##Solution 8 0.8988192 0.9428967
##Solution 9 0.8988192 0.9357982
##Solution 10 0.8988192 0.9357982
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.3
             Card.4
##0.9141995 0.9557145
##
##$bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4
##Card.3 1 2 3 0
##Card.4
           2
                 4
                      5
                            6
##
##$call
##genetic(mat = cor(swiss), kmin = 3, kmax = 4, popsize = 10, nger = 5,
## criterion = "Rv")
##
## 2) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear
## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library
## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. The six-variable subsets
## of linear predictors are chosen using the "CCR1_2" criterion which,
## in the case of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient
## of Determination, R^2 (as are the other three criteria for the
## multivariate linear hypothesis, "XI_2", "TAU_2" and "ZETA_2").
##
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])
## [1] "Price"
colnames(CarsHmat)
```

#### genetic

## [1] "MPG.city" "MPG.highway" "EngineSize" [4] "Horsepower" "RPM" "Rev.per.mile" ## ## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" "Length" ## [10] "Wheelbase" "Width" "Turn.circle" ## [13] "Weight" genetic(CarsHmat\$mat, kmin=6, H=CarsHmat\$H, r=1, crit="CCR12") ## ## (Partial results only) ## ## \$subsets ## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6 ## Solution 1 5 9 10 12 4 11 ## Solution 2 4 5 9 10 11 12 ## Solution 3 4 5 9 10 11 12 ## Solution 4 4 5 9 10 12 11 ## Solution 5 4 5 9 10 11 12 9 ## Solution 6 4 5 10 11 12 ## Solution 7 4 5 8 10 11 12 ## ## (...) ## 5 ## Solution 94 4 6 10 11 1 ## Solution 95 1 4 5 6 10 11 ## Solution 96 4 5 6 10 11 1 ## Solution 97 5 1 4 6 10 11 ## Solution 98 1 4 5 6 10 11 ## Solution 99 4 5 6 10 11 1 ## Solution 100 4 5 6 10 1 11 ## ## \$values ## Solution 1 Solution 2 Solution 3 Solution 4 Solution 5 Solution 6 0.7310150 0.7310150 0.7310150 0.7310150 0.7310150 0.7310150 ## Solution 11 ## Solution 7 Solution 8 Solution 9 Solution 12 Solution 10 0.7271056 ## 0.7310150 0.7271056 0.7271056 0.7271056 0.7271056 Solution 15 ## Solution 13 Solution 14 Solution 18 Solution 16 Solution 17 0.7271056 ## 0.7270257 0.7270257 0.7270257 0.7270257 0.7270257 ## ## (...) ## ## Solution 85 Solution 86 Solution 87 Solution 88 Solution 89 Solution 90 ## 0.7228800 0.7228800 0.7228800 0.7228800 0.7228800 0.7228800 ## Solution 91 Solution 92 Solution 93 Solution 94 Solution 95 Solution 96 ## 0.7228463 0.7228463 0.7228463 0.7228463 0.7228463 0.7228463 ## Solution 97 Solution 98 Solution 99 Solution 100 ## 0.7228463 0.7228463 0.7228463 0.7228463 ## ## \$bestvalues Card.6 ## ## 0.731015 ##

```
genetic
```

```
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
##
      4
          5 9 10 11 12
##
## $call
## genetic(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 6, criterion = "CCR12", H = CarsHmat$H,
##
      r = 1)
## -------
## 3) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical
## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93
## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to
## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in
## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the
## "ZETA_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept
## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X'
## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry
## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons,
## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of
## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced
## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2.
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])
names(Cars93[,4:6])
## [1] "Min.Price" "Price"
                             "Max.Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
## [1] "MPG.city"
                           "MPG.highway"
                                                "EngineSize"
## [4] "Horsepower"
                           "RPM"
                                                "Rev.per.mile"
## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers"
                                                "Length"
## [10] "Wheelbase"
                            "Width"
                                                "Turn.circle"
## [13] "Weight"
genetic(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=5, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=3, crit="zeta2", tolsym=1e-9)
## (PARTIAL RESULTS ONLY)
##
## $subsets
##
              Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
##
## Solution 1
                4
                       5
                           9
                                  10
                                       11
                                               0
## Solution 2
                  4
                       5
                             9
                                  10
                                               0
                                        11
## Solution 3
                             9
                                  10
                                               0
                  4
                       5
                                        11
## Solution 4
                 4
                       5
                             9
                                  10
                                               0
                                        11
## Solution 5
                 4
                       5
                             9
                                  10
                                        11
                                               0
## Solution 6
                 4
                       5
                             9
                                  10
                                        11
                                               0
```
# genetic

##	Solution	7	4	5	9	10	11
##	Solution	8	3	4	9	10	11
##	Solution	9	3	4	9	10	11
##	Solution	10	3	4	9	10	11
##							
##	()						
##							
##	Solution	87	3	4	6	9	10
##	Solution	88	3	4	6	9	10
##	Solution	89	3	4	6	9	10
##	Solution	90	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	91	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	92	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	93	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	94	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	95	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	96	2	3	4	10	11
##	Solution	97	1	3	4	6	10
##	Solution	98	1	3	4	6	10
			1	3	4	6	10
##	Solution	100	1	3	4	6	10
##							
##							
##	\$values						
##							
##			card.	5	card.6		
	Solution	1 (	0.5018922				
##	Solution		0.5018922				
##			0.5018922				
	Solution		0.5018922				
	Solution		0.5018922				
			0.5018922				
##	Solution		0.5018922				
##	Solution		0.4966191		096500		
##	Solution		0.4966191		096500		
##			0.4966191				
##							
##	()						
##	(,						
	Solution	87	0.4893824	4 0 .	5038649		
##	Solution	88	0.4893824				
##	Solution	89	0.4893824				
##	Solution	90	0.4893824				
##		91	0.4893824				
##	Solution	92	0.4893824				
##	Solution	93	0.4893824				
##	Solution	94	0.4893824				
##	Solution	95	0.4893824				
##	Solution	96	0.4893824				
##	Solution	97	0.4890986				
##	Solution	98	0.4890986				
##	Solution		0.4890986				
##	Solution		0.4890986				

```
##
## $bestvalues
##
     Card.5
               Card.6
## 0.5018922 0.5168627
##
## $bestsets
##
         Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
             4
                   5
                        9
                             10
## Card.5
                                   11
                                          0
                   5
## Card.6
             4
                        9
                             10
                                   11
                                         12
##
## $call
  genetic(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 5, kmax = 6, criterion = "zeta2",
##
      H = CarsHmat$H, r = 3, tolsym = 1e-09)
##
##
## Warning message:
##
##
   The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:
   symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.
##
##
  (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
##
  The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.
  in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)
##
##
## The selected best variable subsets
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)[c(4,5,9,10,11)]
## [1] "Horsepower" "RPM"
                               "Length"
                                            "Wheelbase" "Width"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)[c(4,5,9,10,11,12)]
## [1] "Horsepower" "RPM"
                                 "Length"
                                               "Wheelbase"
                                                            "Width"
## [6] "Turn.circle"
## -------
```

glhHmat

Total and Effect Deviation Matrices for General Linear Hypothesis

# Description

Computes total and effect matrices of Sums of Squares and Cross-Product (SSCP) deviations for a general multivariate effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps.

#### Usage

## Default S3 method: glhHmat(x,A,C,...)

```
## S3 method for class 'data.frame'
glhHmat(x,A,C,...)
## S3 method for class 'formula'
```

```
glhHmat(formula,C,data=NULL,...)
```

## Arguments

х	A matrix or data frame containing the variables for which the SSCP matrix is to be computed.
A	A matrix or data frame containing a design matrix specifying a linear model in which x is the response.
С	A matrix or vector containing the coefficients of the reference hypothesis.
formula	A formula of the form $'x \sim A1 + A2 +$ That is, the response is the set of variables whose subsets are to be compared and the right hand side specifies the columns of the design matrix.
data	Data frame from which variables specified in 'formula' are preferentially to be taken.
	further arguments for the method.

## Details

Consider a multivariate linear model  $x = A\Psi + U$  and a reference hypothesis  $H0: C\Psi = 0$ , with  $\Psi$  being a matrix of unknown parameters and C a known coefficient matrix with rank r. It is well known that, under classical Gaussian assumptions,  $H_0$  can be tested by several increasing functions of the r positive eigenvalues of a product  $T^{-1}H$ , where T and H are total and effect matrices of SSCP deviations associated with  $H_0$ . Furthermore, whether or not the classical assumptions hold, the same eigenvalues can be used to define descriptive indices that measure an "effect" characterized by the violation of  $H_0$  (see reference [1] for further details). Those SSCP matrices are given by  $T = x'(I - P_{\omega})x$  and  $H = x'(P_{\Omega} - P_{\omega})x$ , where I is an identity matrix and  $P_{\Omega} = A(A'A)^{-}A'$ ,

$$P_{\omega} = A(A'A)^{-}A' - A(A'A)^{-}C'[C(A'A)^{-}C']^{-}C(A'A)^{-}A'$$

are projection matrices on the spaces spanned by the columns of A (space  $\Omega$ ) and by the linear combinations of these columns that satisfy the reference hypothesis (space  $\omega$ ). In these formulae M' denotes the transpose of M and  $M^-$  a generalized inverse. glhHmat computes the T and Hmatrices which then can be used as input to the search routines anneal, genetic improve and eleaps that try to select subsets of x according to their contribution to the violation of  $H_0$ .

# Value

A list with four items:

mat	The total SSCP matrix
Н	The effect SSCP matrix

glhHmat

r	The expected rank of the H matrix which equals the rank of C. The true rank of
	H can be different from r if the x variables are linearly dependent.
call	The function call which generated the output.

#### References

[1] Duarte Silva. A.P. (2001). Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, Vol. 76, 35-62.

## See Also

anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, lmHmat, ldaHmat.

#### Examples

##1CL 158.8651 108.3357

##------

## The following examples create T and H matrices for different analysis ## of the MASS data set "crabs". This data records physical measurements ## on 200 specimens of Leptograpsus variegatus crabs observed on the shores ## of Western Australia. The crabs are classified by two factors, sex and sp ## (crab species as defined by its colour: blue or orange), with two levels ## each. The measurement variables include the carapace length (CL), ## the carapace width (CW), the size of the frontal lobe (FL) and the size of ## the rear width (RW). In the analysis provided, we assume that there is ## an interest in comparing the subsets of these variables measured in their ## original and logarithmic scales.

```
library(MASS)
data(crabs)
IFL <- log(crabs$FL)</pre>
lRW <- log(crabs$RW)</pre>
1CL <- log(crabs$CL)</pre>
1CW <- log(crabs$CW)</pre>
# 1) Create the T and H matrices associated with a linear
# discriminant analysis on the groups defined by the sp factor.
# This call is equivalent to ldaHmat(sp ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + 1FL +
\# 1RW + 1CL + 1CW, crabs)
Hmat1 <- glhHmat(cbind(FL,RW,CL,CW,1FL,1RW,1CL,1CW) ~ sp,c(0,1),crabs)</pre>
Hmat1
##$mat
                                                                               1CL
                                              CW
                                                         1FL
##
             FL
                       RW
                                   CL
                                                                    1RW
##FL
      2431.2422 1623.4509
                           4846.9787
                                       5283.6093 162.718609 133.360397 158.865134
##RW 1623.4509 1317.7935 3254.5776 3629.6883 109.877182 107.287243 108.335721
##CL 4846.9787 3254.5776 10085.3040 11096.5141 326.243285 269.564742 330.912570
##CW 5283.6093 3629.6883 11096.5141 12331.5680 356.317934 300.786770 364.620761
##1FL 162.7186 109.8772
                            326.2433
                                        356.3179 11.114733
                                                              9.188391 10.910730
##1RW 133.3604 107.2872
                            269.5647
                                        300.7868
                                                  9.188391
                                                               8.906350
                                                                         9.130692
```

364.6208 10.910730

9.130692 11.088706

330.9126

##1CW ## ##FL ##RW ##CL ##CW ##1FL ##1RW ##1CL	152.7872 1CW 152.78716 106.42775 321.02534 357.00510 10.50330 8.97057 10.76517	106.4277	321.0253	357.0051	10.503303	8.970570	10.765175
##1CW ##\$H ##FL ##RW ##CL ##CW ##1FL ##1CW ## ##FL ##RW ##FL ##RW ##CL ##1FL ##1FL ##1FL	247.52670 625.30650 518.41650 30.74088 19.45432 20.54949 15.16136 15.161358 8.0473352 20.3293260 16.8542276 0.999416 0.6324790	8.047335 W 2 2 3 5 1 2	331.89975 838.45125 695.12625 41.21935 26.08561 27.55408	275.16475 695.12625	16.3166234 41.2193540 34.1733106 2.0263971 1.2824024 1.3545945	10.3259508 26.0856066 21.6265286 1.2824024 0.8115664 0.8572531	10.9072444 27.5540813 22.8439819 1.3545945 0.8572531
##1CL ##1CW ##\$r ##[1] ##\$ca: ##g1h1 ##	0.4929100 1 11 Hmat.formu				W, 1FL, 1RW	, 1CL,	
	the intera	e T and H ma ctions betwe t(cbind(FL,F	een the sp	and sex fa	ctors		1),crabs)
##\$ma ## ##FL ##RW ##CL ##CW ##1FL ##1RW	FL 1960.3362 1398.5289 4199.1581 4747.5409	95.17615	3034.2793 9135.6987	4747.540 3442.023 10314.238 11686.938 320.883	3 95.17615 9 283.41481 7 320.88301 0 9.06504	4 115.60717 1 88.52904 4 251.87759 5 285.74494 1 8.02756	2 137.663744 0 100.659912 1 300.140005 5 339.253367 9 9.509543

```
##1CL 137.6637 100.65991
                           300.1400
                                      339.2534
                                                9.509543
                                                          8.516618 10.090003
##1CW 137.2059 100.46203
                           298.6227
                                      338.5254
                                               9.473873 8.494741 10.037059
##
            1CW
##FL 137.205863
##RW 100.462028
##CL 298.622747
##CW 338.525352
##1FL 9.473873
##1RW 8.494741
##1CL 10.037059
##1CW 10.011755
##$H
##
                                 CL
                                          CW
                                                    1FL
                                                               1RW
                                                                         1CL
             FL
                       RW
      80.645000 68.389500 153.73350 191.57950 5.4708199 5.1596883 5.2140868
##FL
##RW 68.389500 57.996450 130.37085 162.46545 4.6394276 4.3755782 4.4217098
##CL 153.733500 130.370850 293.06205 365.20785 10.4290197 9.8359098 9.9396095
##CW 191.579500 162.465450 365.20785 455.11445 12.9964281 12.2573068 12.3865353
##1FL 5.470820 4.639428 10.42902 12.99643 0.3711311 0.3500245 0.3537148
##1RW
     5.159688
                4.375578 9.83591 12.25731 0.3500245 0.3301182 0.3335986
##1CL
      5.214087 4.421710 9.93961 12.38654 0.3537148 0.3335986 0.3371158
##1CW
      5.584150
                4.735535 10.64506 13.26565 0.3788193 0.3572754 0.3610421
##
            1CW
##FL
      5.5841501
##RW 4.7355352
##CL 10.6450610
##CW 13.2656543
##1FL 0.3788193
##1RW 0.3572754
##1CL 0.3610421
##1CW 0.3866667
##$r
##[1] 1
##$call
##glhHmat.formula(formula = cbind(FL, RW, CL, CW, 1FL, 1RW, 1CL,
##
     1CW) ~ sp * sex, C = c(0, 0, 0, 1), data = crabs)
## 3) Create the T and H matrices associated with an analysis
## of the effect of the sp factor after controlling for sex
C <- matrix(0.,2,4)
C[1,3] = C[2,4] = 1.
С
##
        [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,] 0
                0 1
                         0
## [2,]
                0
                    0
           0
                         1
Hmat3 <- glhHmat(cbind(FL,RW,CL,CW,1FL,1RW,1CL,1CW) ~ sp*sex,C,crabs)</pre>
Hmat3
```

##\$mat							
##	FL	RW	CL	CW	1FL	1RW	1CL
##FL 1964	.8964 13	375.92420	4221.6722	4765.1928	131.977728	113.906076	138.315643
##RW 1375	.9242 1 <sup>1</sup>	186.41150	2922.6779	3354.5236	93.560559	96.961292	97.428477
##CL 4221	.6722 29	922.67790	9246.8527	10401.3878	285.023931	243.479136	303.358489
##CW 4765	.1928 33	354.52360	10401.3878	11755.2667	322.144623	279.160241	341.776779
##1FL 131	.9777	93.56056	285.0239	322.1446	9.088336	7.905989	9.556135
##1RW 113	8.9061	96.96129	243.4791	279.1602	7.905989	8.094783	8.273439
##1CL 138	8.3156	97.42848	303.3585	341.7768	9.556135	8.273439	10.183194
##1CW 137	.6258	98.38041	300.6960	340.1509	9.503886	8.338091	10.097091
##	lCW						
##FL 137.	625801						
##RW 98.	380414						
##CL 300.	696018						
##CW 340.	150874						
##1FL 9.	503886						
##1RW 8.	338091						
##1CL 10.	097091						
##1CW 10.	050426						
##\$H					_	_	
##	FL	RW	CL				RM
	205200		176.247600				
		170.046900				5 12.8078299	
					0 12.0381364		
	231400				0 14.2580360		
	796744	3.023836	12.038136				
	458593	12.807830	1.437455				
	865986	1.190274	13.158093				
	004088	2.653921	12.718332	14.891177	0.4088329	0.2006254	48
##	1CL						
	6598627						
	9027431	2.653921					
		12.7183319					
	0030704	14.891176					
	9041999						
	3030750						
##ICW 0.4	2107404	0.4253378	0				
##\$r							
##[1] 2							
##\$call							
					, 1FL, 1RW,	1CL,	
## 1CW)	~ sp *	sex, $C = 0$	C, data = c	rabs)			



Input matrices for subselect search routines in generalized linear models

## Description

glmHmat uses a glm object (fitdglmmodel) to build an estimate of Fisher's Information (FI) matrix together with an auxiliarly rank-one positive-defenite matrix (H), such that the positive eigenvalue of  $FI^{-1}H$  equals the value of Wald's statistic for testing the global significance of fitdglmmodel. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps, usign the minimization of Wald's statistic as criterion for discarding variables.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'glm'
glmHmat(fitdglmmodel,...)
```

#### Arguments

fitdglmmodel	A glm object containing the estimates, and respective covariance matrix, of a
	generalized linear model.
	further arguments for the method.

## Details

Variable selection in the context of generalized linear models is typically based on the minimization of statistics that test the significance of excluded variables. In particular, the likelihood ratio, Wald's, Rao's and some adaptations of such statistics, are often proposed as comparison criteria for variable subsets of the same dimensionality. All these statistics are assympotically equivalent and can be converted into information criteria, like the AIC, that are also able to compare subsets of different dimensionalities (see references [1] and [2] for further details).

Among these criteria, Wald's statistic has some computational advantages because it can always be derived from the same (concerning the full model) maximum likelihood and Fisher information estimates. In particular, if  $W_{allv}$  is the value of the Wald statistic testing the significance of the full covariate vector, b and FI are coefficient and Fisher information estimates and H is an auxiliary rank-one matrix given by H = FI % % b % % t (b) % % % FI, it follows that the value of Wald's statistic for the excluded variables ( $W_excv$ ) in a given subset is given by  $W_{excv} = W_{allv} - tr(FI_{indices}^{-1}H_{indices})$ , where  $FI_indices$  and  $H_indices$  are the portions of the FI and H matrices associated with the selected variables.

glmHmat retrieves the values of the FI and H matrices from a glm object. These matrices may then be used as input to the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps.

#### Value

A list with four items:

mat	An estimate (FI) of Fisher's information matrix for the full model variable- coefficient estimates
Н	A product of the form (FI %*% b %*% t(b) %*% FI) where b is a vector of variable-coefficient estimates
r	The rank of the H matrix. Always set to one in glmHmat.
call	The function call which generated the output.

#### glmHmat

## References

[1] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, *Biometrics*, Vol. 34, 318-327.

[2] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1987). ISMOD: An All-Subsets Regression Program for Generalized Models I. Statistical and Computational Background, *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, Vol. 24, 117-124.

#### See Also

anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, glm.

## Examples

```
##------
##-----
## An example of variable selection in the context of binary response
## regression models. We consider the last 100 observations of
## the iris data set (versicolor an verginica species) and try
## to find the best variable subsets for models that take species
## as the response variable.
data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]</pre>
# Create the input matrices for the search routines in a logistic regression model
modelfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length +</pre>
Petal.Width,iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(modelfit)</pre>
Hmat
## $mat
##
              Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
## Sepal.Length 0.28340358 0.03263437 0.09552821 -0.01779067
## Sepal.Width 0.03263437 0.13941541 0.01086596 0.04759284
## Petal.Length 0.09552821 0.01086596 0.08847655 -0.01853044
## Petal.Width -0.01779067 0.04759284 -0.01853044 0.03258730
## $H
##
              Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
## Sepal.Length 0.11643732 0.013349227 -0.063924853 -0.050181400
                0.01334923 0.001530453 -0.007328813 -0.005753163
## Sepal.Width
## Petal.Length -0.06392485 -0.007328813 0.035095164 0.027549918
## Petal.Width -0.05018140 -0.005753163 0.027549918 0.021626854
## $r
## [1] 1
## $call
```

```
## glmHmat(fitdglmmodel = modelfit)
# Search for the 3 best variable subsets of each dimensionality by an exausitve search
eleaps(Hmat$mat,H=Hmat$H,r=1,criterion="Wald",nsol=3)
## $subsets
## , , Card.1
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Solution 1
             4 0 0
                  0
## Solution 2
                          0
               1
## Solution 3
                  0
               3
                         0
## , , Card.2
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 1 3 0
## Solution 2
               3 4
                          0
             2 4
                       0
## Solution 3
## , , Card.3
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
             2 3 4
## Solution 1
                  3
## Solution 2
               1
                          4
                  2
                        3
## Solution 3
              1
## $values
##
             card.1 card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## Solution 2 5.147360 3.952538 2.224335
## Solution 3 5.161553 3.972410 3.522879
## $bestvalues
## Card.1 Card.2 Card.3
## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.1
         4 0 0
## Card.2
           1
                 3
                      0
## Card.3
            2 3
                      4
## $call
## eleaps(mat = Hmat$mat, nsol = 3, criterion = "Wald", H = Hmat$H,
##
    r = 1)
## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the
```

## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by ## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the

## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized
## counterparts.
## >
## >
## > proc.time()
## [1] 0.680 0.064 0.736 0.000 0.000

```
improve
```

Restricted Local Improvement search for an optimal k-variable subset

## Description

Given a set of variables, a Restricted Local Improvement algorithm seeks a k-variable subset which is optimal, as a surrogate for the whole set, with respect to a given criterion.

# Usage

```
improve( mat, kmin, kmax = kmin, nsol = 1, exclude = NULL,
include = NULL, setseed = FALSE, criterion = "default", pcindices="first_k",
initialsol = NULL, force = FALSE, H=NULL, r=0,
tolval=1000*.Machine$double.eps,tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

## Arguments

mat	a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin	the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax	the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
nsol	the number of different subsets (runs of the algorithm) wanted.
exclude	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
include	a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included from the subsets.
setseed	logical variable indicating whether to fix an initial seed for the random number generator, which will be re-used in future calls to this function whenever setseed is again set to TRUE.
criterion	Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "Rm", "Rv", "Gcd", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef and ccr12.coef for further details). The default criterion is "Rm" if parameter r is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if r is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).

- pcindices either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the Gcd criterion only, see gcd.coef) or the default text first\_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to k with each cardinality k that has been requested by the user.
- initialsol vector, matrix or 3-d array of initial solutions for the restricted local improvement search. If a *single cardinality* is required, initialsol may be a vector of length k(accepted even if nsol > 1, in which case it is used as the initial solution for all nsol final solutions that are requested with a warning that the same initial solution necessarily produces the same final solution); a 1 x k matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets output value of the algorithm functions anneal, genetic, or improve), or a  $1 \ge k \ge 1$  array (as produced by the \$subsets output value), in which case it will be treated as the above k-vector; or an nsol x k matrix, or nsol x k x 1 3-d array, in which case each row (dimension 1) will be used as the initial solution for each of the nsol final solutions requested. If more than one cardinality is requested, initialsol can be a length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets option of the algorithm functions) (even if nsol > 1, in which case each row will be replicated to produced the initial solution for all nsol final solutions requested in each cardinality, with a warning that a single initial solution necessarily produces identical final solutions), or a nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-d array (as produced by the \$subsets output option), in which case each row (dimension 1) is interpreted as a different initial solution.

If the exclude and/or include options are used, initialsol must also respect those requirements.

- force a logical variable indicating whether, for large data sets (currently p > 400) the algorithm should proceed anyways, regardless of possible memory problems which may crash the R session.
- H Effect description matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below.
- r Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria. See the Details section below.
- tolval the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the correlation/covariance matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search for well conditioned subsets.
- tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes (A+t(A))/2.

#### Details

An initial k-variable subset (for k ranging from kmin to kmax) of a full set of p variables is randomly selected and the variables not belonging to this subset are placed in a queue. The possibility

of replacing a variable in the current k-subset with a variable from the queue is then explored. More precisely, a variable is selected, removed from the queue, and the k values of the criterion which would result from swapping this selected variable with each variable in the current subset are computed. If the best of these values improves the current criterion value, the current subset is updated accordingly. In this case, the variable which leaves the subset is added to the queue, but only if it has not previously been in the queue (i.e., no variable can enter the queue twice). The algorithm proceeds until the queue is emptied.

The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the k-subsets, and may specify initial solutions.

For each cardinality k, the total number of calls to the procedure which computes the criterion values is O(nsol x k x p). These calls are the dominant computational effort in each iteration of the algorithm.

In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations are carried out in a Fortran routine. Further details about the algorithm can be found in Reference 1 and in the comments to the Fortran code (in the src subdirectory for this package). For datasets with a very large number of variables (currently p > 400), it is necessary to set the force argument to TRUE for the function to run, but this may cause a session crash if there is not enough memory available.

The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.

In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See references [1] and [2] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.

In the setting of a multivariate linear model,  $X = A\Psi + U$ , criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation of a reference hypothesis,  $C\Psi = 0$  (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when  $r \leq$ 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.

In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [4] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and H should be set respectively to FI and FI %\*% b %\*% t(b) %\*% FI, where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

#### Value

A list with five items:

subsets

An nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each cardinality (dimension 3) and each solution (dimension 1) the list of variables (ref-

	erenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero).
values	An nsol x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the criterion values of the nsol (rows) solutions obtained.
bestvalues	A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the best values of the criterion obtained for each cardinality.
bestsets	A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best k-subset that was found.
call	The function call which generated the output.

## References

[1] Cadima, J., Cerdeira, J. Orestes and Minhoto, M. (2004) Computational aspects of algorithms for variable selection in the context of principal components. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 47, 225-236.

[2]Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*, Vol. 6, 62-79.

[3]Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, Vol. 76, 35-62.

[4] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, *Biometrics*, Vol. 34, 318-327.

## See Also

rm.coef,rv.coef,gcd.coef,tau2.coef,xi2.coef,zeta2.coef,ccr12.coef,genetic,anneal, eleaps,trim.matrix,lmHmat,ldaHmat,glhHmat,glmHmat.

## Examples

```
## -----
```

```
##
## 1) For illustration of use, a small data set with very few iterations
## of the algorithm.
## Subsets of 2 and of 3 variables are sought using the RM criterion.
##
data(swiss)
improve(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,criterion="GCD")
## $subsets
## , , Card.2
##
##
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 3 6
                          0
## Solution 2
             3 6
                          0
## Solution 3 3 6 0
## Solution 4 3 6
                          0
##
```

```
## , , Card.3
##
##
         Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 4 5 6
## Solution 2 4 5 6
## Solution 3 4 5 6
           4 5 6
## Solution 4
##
##
## $values
             card.2 card.3
##
## Solution 1 0.8487026 0.925372
## Solution 2 0.8487026 0.925372
## Solution 3 0.8487026 0.925372
## Solution 4 0.8487026 0.925372
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
## 0.8487026 0.9253720
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.2 3 6 0
## Card.3 4 5 6
##
##$call
##improve(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, criterion = "GCD")
## ------
##
## 2) Forcing the inclusion of variable 1 in the subset
##
improve(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,criterion="GCD",include=c(1))
## $subsets
## , , Card.2
##
##
         Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 1 6 0
                     0
           1 6
## Solution 2
           1 6 0
## Solution 3
           1 6 0
## Solution 4
##
## , , Card.3
##
         Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Solution 1 1 5 6
## Solution 2
           1 5 6
           1 5 6
1 5 6
## Solution 3
## Solution 4
```

```
##
##
## $values
##
               card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 0.7284477 0.8048528
## Solution 2 0.7284477 0.8048528
## Solution 3 0.7284477 0.8048528
## Solution 4 0.7284477 0.8048528
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
## 0.7284477 0.8048528
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.2 1 6 0
## Card.3
            1
                 5
                        6
##
##$call
##improve(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, criterion = "GCD", include = c(1))
## ------
## 3) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear
## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library
## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. Three variable subsets of
## cardinalities 4, 5 and 6 are requested, using the "XI_2" criterion which,
## in the case of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient of
## Determination, R^2 (as are the other three criteria for the
## multivariate linear hypothesis, "TAU_2", "CCR1_2" and "ZETA_2").
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])
## [1] "Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
## [1] "MPG.city"
                           "MPG.highway"
                                               "EngineSize"
## [4] "Horsepower"
                           "RPM"
                                               "Rev.per.mile"
## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers"
                                               "Length"
## [10] "Wheelbase"
                           "Width"
                                               "Turn.circle"
## [13] "Weight"
improve(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=1, crit="xi2", nsol=3)
## $subsets
## , , Card.4
##
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
##
```

```
3 4
3 4
## Solution 1
                      11
                           13
                                  0
                                        0
## Solution 2
                                     0
                      11 13
                                  0
## Solution 3
              4 5
                      10
                            11
                                0
                                        0
##
## , , Card.5
##
##
           Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 3 4 8 11 13
                                        0
## Solution 2
            4 5 10
                           11 12
                                        0
## Solution 3
            4 5 10
                           11 12
                                        0
##
## , , Card.6
##
##
           Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
            4 5 6 10 11 12
## Solution 1
## Solution 2
              4 5
                        8
                            10
                                  11
                                       12
                                11
## Solution 3
            4 5
                      9
                           10
                                      12
##
##
## $values
##
             card.4 card.5 card.6
## Solution 1 0.6880773 0.6899182 0.7270257
## Solution 2 0.6880773 0.7241457 0.7271056
## Solution 3 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6
## 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Card.4 4 5 10 11 0 0
## Card.5 4 5 10 11
                             12
                                    0
## Card.6
           4 5 9
                        10
                             11
                                   12
##
## $call
## improve(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, nsol = 3, criterion = "xi2",
## H = CarsHmat$H, r = 1)
## ------
## 4) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We consider the Iris data and three groups, defined by species (setosa,
## versicolor and virginica). The goal is to select the 2- and 3-variable
## subsets that are optimal for the linear discrimination (as measured
## by the "TAU_2" criterion).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)</pre>
improve(irisHmat$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,crit="ccr12")
```

```
## $subsets
## , , Card.2
##
##
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 2 3 0
##
## , , Card.3
##
##
            Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 2 3 4
##
##
## $values
##
               card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 0.8079476 0.8419635
##
## $bestvalues
##
   Card.2
            Card.3
## 0.8079476 0.8419635
##
## $bestsets
  Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Card.2 2 3 0
## Card.3 2 3
                        4
##
## $call
## improve(mat = irisHmat$mat, kmin = 2, kmax = 3,
      criterion = "tau2", H = irisHmat$H, r = 2)
##
##
## -----
## 5) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical
## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93
## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to
## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in
## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the
## "ZETA_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept
## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X'
## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry
## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons,
## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of
## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced
## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2.
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])</pre>
names(Cars93[,4:6])
## [1] "Min.Price" "Price"
                          "Max.Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
```

```
## [1] "MPG.city"
                          "MPG.highway"
                                             "EngineSize"
## [4] "Horsepower"
                          "RPM"
                                             "Rev.per.mile"
## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers"
                                             "Length"
## [10] "Wheelbase"
                          "Width"
                                             "Turn.circle"
## [13] "Weight"
improve(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=3, crit="zeta2", tolsym=1e-9)
## $subsets
## , , Card.4
##
##
       Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 3 4 11 13 0 0
##
## , , Card.5
##
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 3 4 9 11 13 0
##
## , , Card.6
##
##
           Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Solution 1 3 4 5 9 10 11
##
##
## $values
##
               card.4 card.5 card.6
## Solution 1 0.4626035 0.4875495 0.5071096
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6
## 0.4626035 0.4875495 0.5071096
##
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4 Var.5 Var.6
## Card.4 3 4 11 13 0 0
## Card.5
            3
                  4
                      9
                            11
                                 13
                                       0
## Card.6
            3 4
                       5
                            9
                                 10
                                       11
##
## $call
## improve(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, criterion = "zeta2",
##
     H = CarsHmat$H, r = 3, tolsym = 1e-09)
##
## Warning message:
##
## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)
```

```
## ------
## 6) An example of variable selection in the context of a logistic
## regression model. We consider the last 100 observations of
## the iris data set (versicolor and verginica species) and try
## to find the best variable subsets for the model that takes species
## as response variable.
data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]</pre>
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,</pre>
iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)</pre>
improve(Hmat$mat,1,3,H=Hmat$H,r=1,criterion="Wald")
## $subsets
## , , Card.1
##
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 4 0 0
## , , Card.2
##
          Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Solution 1 1 3 0
## , , Card.3
      Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
##
## Solution 1 2 3 4
## $values
## card.1 card.2 card.3
## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## $bestvalues
## Card.1 Card.2 Card.3
## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121
## $bestsets
## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3
## Card.1 4 0 0
## Card.2 1 3 0
## Card.3 2 3 4
## $call
## improve(mat = Hmat$mat, kmin = 1, kmax = 3, criterion = "Wald",
## H = Hmat$H, r = 1)
## ------
## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the
```

## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by

# ldaHmat

```
## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the
## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized
## counterparts.
```

ldaHmat

*Total and Between-Group Deviation Matrices in Linear Discriminant Analysis* 

# Description

Computes total and between-group matrices of Sums of Squares and Cross-Product (SSCP) deviations in linear discriminant analysis. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps.

## Usage

## Default S3 method: ldaHmat(x,grouping,...)

## S3 method for class 'data.frame'
ldaHmat(x,grouping,...)

## S3 method for class 'formula'
ldaHmat(formula,data=NULL,...)

# Arguments

х	A matrix or data frame containing the discriminators for which the SSCP matrix is to be computed.
grouping	A factor specifying the class for each observation.
formula	A formula of the form 'groups $\sim x1 + x2 +$ ' That is, the response is the grouping factor and the right hand side specifies the (non-factor) discriminators.
data	Data frame from which variables specified in 'formula' are preferentially to be taken.
	further arguments for the method.

# Value

A list with four items:

mat	The total SSCP matrix
Н	The between-groups SSCP matrix
r	The expected rank of the H matrix which equals the minimum between the num- ber of discriminators and the number of groups minus one. The true rank of H can be different from r if the discriminators are linearly dependent.
call	The function call which generated the output.

#### See Also

anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps.

# Examples

```
## An example with a very small data set. We consider the Iris data
## and three groups, defined by species (setosa, versicolor and
## virginica).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)</pre>
irisHmat
##$mat
##
             Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
##Sepal.Length 102.168333 -6.322667 189.8730
                                               76.92433
##Sepal.Width
               -6.322667 28.306933
                                      -49.1188
                                               -18.12427
##Petal.Length 189.873000 -49.118800
                                      464.3254 193.04580
##Petal.Width
               76.924333 -18.124267
                                      193.0458
                                                 86.56993
##$H
##
             Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
##Sepal.Length 63.21213 -19.95267 165.2484
                                                71.27933
                         11.34493
##Sepal.Width
               -19.95267
                                      -57.2396
                                               -22.93267
##Petal.Length 165.24840 -57.23960
                                      437.1028 186.77400
##Petal.Width
               71.27933 -22.93267
                                      186.7740
                                                 80.41333
##$r
##[1] 2
##$call
##ldaHmat.data.frame(x = iris[1:4], grouping = iris$Species)
```

lmHmat

Total and Effect Deviation Matrices for Linear Regression and Canonical Correlation Analysis

#### Description

Computes total an effect matrices of Sums of Squares and Cross-Product (SSCP) deviations, divided by a normalizing constant, in linear regression or canonical correlation analysis. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps.

# lmHmat

## Usage

```
## Default S3 method:
lmHmat(x,y,...)
## S3 method for class 'data.frame'
lmHmat(x,y,...)
## S3 method for class 'formula'
lmHmat(formula,data=NULL,...)
## S3 method for class 'lm'
lmHmat(fitdlmmodel,...)
```

#### Arguments

x	A matrix or data frame containing the variables for which the SSCP matrix is to be computed.
У	A matrix or data frame containing the set of fixed variables, the association of x is to be measured with.
formula	A formula of the form ' $y \sim x1 + x2 +$ '. That is, the response is the set of fixed variables and the right hand side specifies the variables whose subsets are to be compared.
data	Data frame from which variables specified in 'formula' are preferentially to be taken.
fitdlmmodel	An object of class 1m, as produced by R's 1m function.
	further arguments for the method.

# Details

Let x and y be two different groups of linearly independent variables observed on the same set of data units. It is well known that the association between x and y can be measured by their squared canonical correlations which may be found as the positive eigenvalues of certain matrix products. In particular, if  $T_x$  and  $H_{x/y}$  denote SSCP matrices of deviations from the mean, respectively for the original x variables  $(T_x)$  and for their orthogonal projections onto the space spanned by the y's  $(H_{x/y})$ , then the positive eigenvalues of  $T_x^{-1}H_{x/y}$  equal the squared correlations between x and y. Alternatively these correlations could also be found from  $T_y^{-1}H_{y/x}$  but here, assuming a goal of comparing x's subsets for a given fixed set of y's, we will focus on the former product. ImHmat computes a scaled version of  $T_x$  and  $H_{x/y}$  such that  $T_x$  is converted into a covariance matrix. These matrices can be used as input to the search routines anneal, genetic improve and eleaps that try to select x subsets based on several functions of their squared correlations with y. We note that when there is only one variable in the y set, this is equivalent to selecting predictors for linear regression based on the traditional coefficient of determination.

#### Value

A list with four items:

mat	The total SSCP matrix divided by nrow(x)-1
Н	The effect SSCP matrix divided by nrow(x)-1
r	The expected rank of the H matrix which, under the assumption of linear independence, equals the minimum between the number of variables in the x and y sets. The true rank of H can be different from r if the linear independence condition fails.
call	The function call which generated the output.

## See Also

anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, lm.

#### Examples

## 1) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple ## Linear Regression. Variable 5 (average price) in the Cars93 MASS ## library is to be regressed on 13 other variables. The goal is to ## compare subsets of these 13 variables according to their ability ## to predict car prices. library(MASS) data(Cars93) CarsHmat1 <- lmHmat(Cars93[c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[5]) CarsHmat1 ##\$mat ## MPG.city MPG.highway EngineSize Horsepower -4.1391655 -1.979799e+02 ##MPG.city 28.283427 31.582281 ##MPG.highway 28.427302 -3.4667602 -1.728655e+02 28.283427 1.0761220 3.977700e+01 ##EngineSize -4.139165 -3.466760 ##Horsepower -197.979897 -172.865475 39.7769986 2.743079e+03 ##RPM 1217.478962 997.335203 -339.1637447 1.146634e+03 1941.631019 1555.243104 -424.4118163 -1.561070e+04 ##Rev.per.mile ##Fuel.tank.capacity -14.985799 -13.743654 2.5830820 1.222536e+02 ##Passengers -2.433964 -2.583567 0.4017181 5.040907e-01 ##Length -54.673329 -42.267765 11.8197055 4.212964e+02 ##Wheelbase -25.567087 -22.375760 5.1819425 1.738928e+02 ##Width -15.302127 -12.902291 3.3992286 1.275437e+02 ##Turn.circle -12.071061 -10.202782 2.6029453 9.474252e+01 ##Weight -2795.094670 -2549.654628 517.1327139 2.282550e+04 ## RPM Rev.per.mile Fuel.tank.capacity Passengers ##MPG.city 1217.4790 1941.6310 -14.985799 -2.4339645 ##MPG.highway 997.3352 1555.2431 -13.743654 -2.5835671 ##EngineSize -339.1637 -424.4118 2.583082 0.4017181 122.253612 ##Horsepower 1146.6339 -15610.7036 0.5040907 ##RPM 356088.7097 146589.3233 -652.324684 -289.6213184 -992.747020 -172.8003740 ##Rev.per.mile 146589.3233 246518.7295 ##Fuel.tank.capacity -652.3247 -992.7470 10.754271 1.6085203 ##Passengers -289.6213 -172.8004 1.608520 1.0794764

# lmHmat

##Length	-3844.9158	-5004.3139	33.063850 7.3626695
##Wheelbase	-1903.7693	-2156.2932	16.944811 4.9177186
##Width	-1217.0933	-1464.3712	9.898282 1.9237962
##Turn.circle	-972.5806	-1173.3281	7.096283 1.5037401
##Weight	-150636.1325 -		1729.468268 339.0953717
##	Length	Wheelbase	Width Turn.circle
##MPG.city		-25.567087	-15.302127 -12.071061
##MPG.highway	-42.26777	-22.375760	-12.902291 -10.202782
##EngineSize	11.81971	5.181942	3.399229 2.602945
##Horsepower		173.892824	127.543712 94.742520
##RPM	-3844.91585 -1	903.769285 -	-1217.093268 -972.580645
##Rev.per.mile	-5004.31393 -2	156.293245 -	-1464.371201 -1173.328074
##Fuel.tank.capacity	33.06385	16.944811	9.898282 7.096283
##Passengers	7.36267	4.917719	1.923796 1.503740
##Length	213.22955	82.021973	45.367929 34.780622
##Wheelbase	82.02197	46.507948	20.803062 15.899836
##Width	45.36793	20.803062	14.280739 9.962015
##Turn.circle	34.78062	15.899836	9.962015 10.389434
##Weight	6945.16129 3	507.549088	1950.471599 1479.365358
##	Weight		
##MPG.city	-2795.0947		
##MPG.highway	-2549.6546		
##EngineSize	517.1327		
##Horsepower	22825.5049		
##RPM	-150636.1325		
##Rev.per.mile	-215349.6757		
##Fuel.tank.capacity	1729.4683		
##Passengers	339.0954		
##Length	6945.1613		
##Wheelbase	3507.5491		
##Width	1950.4716		
##Turn.circle	1479.3654		
##Weight	347977.8927		
"""CIBIC	517577.0527		
##\$H			
##	MPG.city	MPG.highwa	ay EngineSize Horsepower
## ##MPG.city	11.1644681	9.988544	
##MPG.highway	9.9885440	8.936477	
##EngineSize	-2.0707776	-1.852668	
##Horsepower	-137.9381108	-123.409452	
##RPM		8.838934	
	9.8795182		45 -1.83244599 -122.062428 01 -131.20537141 -8739.818920
<pre>##Rev.per.mile ##Eucl_tank_capacity</pre>	707.3855707 -6.7879209		
<pre>##Fuel.tank.capacity ##Paccongong</pre>		-6.072967	
##Passengers	-0.2008651	-0.179708	
##Length	-24.5727044	-21.984526	
##Wheelbase ##Width	-11.4130722	-10.210963	
	-5.7581866	-5.151692	
##Turn.circle	-4.2281864	-3.782842	
##Weight	-1275.6139645		
##			Fuel.tank.capacity Passengers
##MPG.city	9.879518	707.38557	-6.7879209 -0.200865141
##MPG.highway	8.838935	632.87851	-6.0729671 -0.179708544
##EngineSize	-1.832446	-131.20537	1.2590187 0.037256323

##Horsepower	-122.062428	-8739.81892	83.8654369 2.481708752
##RPM	8.742457	625.97059	-6.0066801 -0.177747010
##Rev.per.mile	625.970586	44820.25860	-430.0856347 -12.726903044
##Fuel.tank.capacity	-6.006680	-430.08563	4.1270099 0.122124645
##Passengers	-0.177747	-12.72690	0.1221246 0.003613858
##Length	-21.744563	-1556.93728	14.9400378 0.442098962
##Wheelbase	-10.099510	-723.13724	6.9390706 0.205337894
##Width	-5.095461	-364.84122	3.5009384 0.103598215
##Turn.circle	-3.741553	-267.89973	2.5707087 0.076071269
##Weight	-1128.799984	-80823.45772	775.5646486 22.950164550
##	Length	Wheelbase	Width Turn.circle
##MPG.city	-24.572704	-11.4130722	-5.7581866 -4.22818636
##MPG.highway	-21.984526	-10.2109633	-5.1516920 -3.78284262
##EngineSize	4.557728	2.1168885	1.0680243 0.78424099
##Horsepower	303.598201	141.0096393	71.1429669 52.23966202
##RPM	-21.744563	-10.0995098	-5.0954608 -3.74155256
##Rev.per.mile			-364.8412174 -267.89973369
##Fuel.tank.capacity	14.940038	6.9390706	3.5009384 2.57070866
##Passengers	0.442099		0.1035982 0.07607127
##Length	54.083885		12.6736193 9.30612843
##Wheelbase	25.119876		5.8864067 4.32233724
##Width	12.673619	5.8864067	2.9698426 2.18072961
##Turn.circle	9.306128	4.3223372	2.1807296 1.60129079
##Weight		1304.0186214	
##	Weight	150110100211	100.00012200
##MPG.city	-1275.61396		
##MPG.highway	-1141.25690		
##EngineSize	236.59997		
##Horsepower	15760.33711		
##RPM	-1128.79998		
##Rev.per.mile	-80823.45772		
##Fuel.tank.capacity			
##Passengers	22.95016		
##Length	2807.59323		
##Wheelbase	1304.01862		
##Width	657.91072		
##Width ##Turn.circle	483.09812		
##Weight	145747.29199		
##WEIght	143747.23133		
##\$r			
•			
##[1] 1			
##\$call			
	$x = Cars93\Gammac($	7·8 12·15 1 <sup>-</sup>	7:22, 25)], y = Cars93[5])
"" In mat. adta. H alle()		,	, 20/3, y Cars/3[0])

## 2) An example of subset selection in the context of Canonical ## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93 ## MASS library data set are compared. The first group (variables 4th, ## 5th and 6th) relates to price, while the second group is formed by 13 ## variables that describe several technical car specifications. The ## goal is to select subsets of the second group that are optimal in ## terms of preserving the canonical correlations with the variables in

#### lmHmat

```
## the first group (Warning: the 3-variable "response" group is kept
## intact; subset selection is to be performed only in the 13-variable
## group).
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat2 <- lmHmat(Cars93[c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[4:6])
names(Cars93[4:6])
## [1] "Min.Price" "Price"
                               "Max.Price"
CarsHmat2
##$mat
                        MPG.city MPG.highway
##
                                                 EngineSize
                                                               Horsepower
##MPG.city
                                     28.283427
                                                 -4.1391655 -1.979799e+02
                        31.582281
##MPG.highway
                        28.283427
                                     28.427302
                                                 -3.4667602 -1.728655e+02
                        -4.139165
                                     -3.466760
                                                  1.0761220 3.977700e+01
##EngineSize
##Horsepower
                      -197.979897 -172.865475
                                                 39.7769986 2.743079e+03
##RPM
                      1217.478962
                                    997.335203 -339.1637447 1.146634e+03
##Rev.per.mile
                      1941.631019 1555.243104 -424.4118163 -1.561070e+04
                      -14.985799
                                    -13.743654
                                                  2.5830820 1.222536e+02
##Fuel.tank.capacity
                                     -2.583567
##Passengers
                       -2.433964
                                                  0.4017181 5.040907e-01
                                    -42.267765
##Length
                       -54.673329
                                                 11.8197055
                                                             4.212964e+02
##Wheelbase
                                    -22.375760
                       -25.567087
                                                  5.1819425
                                                             1.738928e+02
##Width
                       -15.302127
                                    -12.902291
                                                  3.3992286
                                                             1.275437e+02
##Turn.circle
                                    -10.202782
                                                  2.6029453
                       -12.071061
                                                             9.474252e+01
##Weight
                     -2795.094670 -2549.654628 517.1327139 2.282550e+04
                              RPM Rev.per.mile Fuel.tank.capacity
                                                                    Passengers
##
##MPG.city
                        1217.4790
                                     1941.6310
                                                       -14.985799
                                                                    -2.4339645
##MPG.highway
                         997.3352
                                     1555.2431
                                                       -13.743654
                                                                    -2.5835671
##EngineSize
                        -339.1637
                                     -424.4118
                                                         2.583082
                                                                     0.4017181
##Horsepower
                        1146.6339 -15610.7036
                                                       122.253612
                                                                     0.5040907
##RPM
                      356088.7097 146589.3233
                                                      -652.324684 -289.6213184
##Rev.per.mile
                      146589.3233 246518.7295
                                                      -992.747020 -172.8003740
                        -652.3247
                                     -992.7470
##Fuel.tank.capacity
                                                        10.754271
                                                                     1.6085203
##Passengers
                        -289.6213
                                     -172.8004
                                                         1.608520
                                                                     1.0794764
##Length
                                    -5004.3139
                       -3844.9158
                                                        33.063850
                                                                     7.3626695
##Wheelbase
                       -1903.7693
                                    -2156.2932
                                                        16.944811
                                                                      4.9177186
##Width
                       -1217.0933
                                    -1464.3712
                                                         9.898282
                                                                      1.9237962
##Turn.circle
                        -972.5806
                                    -1173.3281
                                                         7.096283
                                                                     1.5037401
##Weight
                     -150636.1325 -215349.6757
                                                      1729.468268 339.0953717
##
                                    Wheelbase
                                                     Width Turn.circle
                          Length
##MPG.city
                       -54.67333
                                   -25.567087
                                                -15.302127
                                                             -12.071061
##MPG.highway
                       -42.26777
                                   -22.375760
                                                -12.902291
                                                             -10.202782
##EngineSize
                        11.81971
                                     5.181942
                                                  3.399229
                                                               2.602945
                       421.29640
                                   173.892824
                                                               94.742520
##Horsepower
                                                127.543712
##RPM
                     -3844.91585 -1903.769285 -1217.093268 -972.580645
                     -5004.31393 -2156.293245 -1464.371201 -1173.328074
##Rev.per.mile
                                    16.944811
##Fuel.tank.capacity
                        33.06385
                                                  9.898282
                                                               7.096283
                         7.36267
##Passengers
                                     4.917719
                                                  1.923796
                                                               1.503740
##Length
                       213.22955
                                    82.021973
                                                 45.367929
                                                               34.780622
##Wheelbase
                        82.02197
                                    46.507948
                                                 20.803062
                                                               15.899836
```

##Width	45.36793	20.803062	14.280739	9.962015
##Turn.circle	34.78062	15.899836	9.962015	10.389434
##Weight	6945.16129	3507.549088 1	950.471599 14	479.365358
##	Weight			
##MPG.city	-2795.0947			
##MPG.highway	-2549.6546			
##EngineSize	517.1327			
##Horsepower	22825.5049			
##RPM	-150636.1325			
##Rev.per.mile	-215349.6757			
##Fuel.tank.capacity	1729.4683			
##Passengers	339.0954			
##Length	6945.1613			
##Wheelbase	3507.5491			
##Width	1950.4716			
##Turn.circle	1479.3654			
##Weight	347977.8927			
##\$H				
##	MPG.city	MPG.highway	. EngineSize	e Horsepower
##MPG.city	12.6374638	11.1802504		
##MPG.highway	11.1802504	9.9241995	-2.1555141	7 -132.381671
##EngineSize	-2.4485655	-2.1555142	0.4813116	3 28.438641
##Horsepower	-149.0555255	-132.3816709	28.4386407	7 1788.168412
##RPM	116.9463468	90.2758380	-29.9073579	0 -935.019669
##Rev.per.mile	850.6791690	744.7148717	-168.4422135	1 -9825.172173
##Fuel.tank.capacity	-7.3863845	-6.5473387	1.4136733	7 88.391549
##Passengers	-0.2756475	-0.2507147		
##Length	-29.0878749	-25.4205633	5.7414853	5 337.880225
##Wheelbase	-12.4579187	-11.0208656	2.3890669	
##Width	-6.8768553	-6.0641799	1.3540529	
##Turn.circle	-4.9652258	-4.3460777		
##Weight	-1399.0819460	-1239.6883974	268.4395202	2 16693.580681
##	RPM	Rev.per.mile	Fuel.tank.cap	acity Passengers
##MPG.city	116.946347	850.67917		63845 -0.27564745
##MPG.highway	90.275838	744.71487	-6.54	
##EngineSize	-29.907358	-168.44221	1.41	36734 0.05519028
##Horsepower	-935.019669	-9825.17217	88.39	15487 3.03625516
##RPM	8930.289631	11941.01945	-51.66	
##Rev.per.mile	11941.019450	59470.19917	-490.00	51258 -18.17896445
##Fuel.tank.capacity	-51.662035	-490.00613	4.37	42368 0.14814085
##Passengers	-3.304915	-18.17896		0.01208827
##Length	-397.601848	-2033.81167	16.86	46785 0.57474210
##Wheelbase	-93.828737	-830.92582		33050 0.24261242
##Width	-84.771418	-472.37388		23474 0.16370704
##Turn.circle	-64.578815	-345.33527		39031 0.09876958
##Weight	-10423.776629		826.33	
##	Length	Wheelbase	Width	Turn.circle
##MPG.city	-29.0878749	-12.4579187	-6.8768553	-4.96522585
##MPG.highway	-25.4205633	-11.0208656	-6.0641799	-4.34607767
##EngineSize	5.7414854	2.3890670	1.3540529	0.97719452
##Horsepower	337.8802249	148.9288871	79.5791065	57.83352310
##RPM	-397.6018484	-93.8287370	-84.7714184	-64.57881537
	337.0010104	33.3207370	01.7711104	01.07001007

## rm.coef

##Rev.per.mile	-2033.8116669	-830.9258201	-472.3738765	-345.33527111
##Fuel.tank.capacity	16.8646785	7.3783050	3.9523474	2.88390313
##Passengers	0.5747421	0.2426124	0.1637070	0.09876958
##Length	69.9185456	28.6482825	16.0342179	11.86931842
##Wheelbase	28.6482825	12.4615297	6.6687394	4.89477408
##Width	16.0342179	6.6687394	3.8217667	2.73004255
##Turn.circle	11.8693184	4.8947741	2.7300425	2.01640426
##Weight	3199.4701647	1393.7884808	751.2183342	546.92139008
##	Weight			
##MPG.city	-1399.08195			
##MPG.highway	-1239.68840			
##EngineSize	268.43952			
##Horsepower	16693.58068			
##RPM	-10423.77663			
##Rev.per.mile	-93087.56026			
##Fuel.tank.capacity	826.33483			
##Passengers	28.56899			
##Length	3199.47016			
##Wheelbase	1393.78848			
##Width	751.21833			
##Turn.circle	546.92139			
##Weight	156186.68328			
##\$r				
##[1] 3				
##\$call				
<pre>##lmHmat.data.frame()</pre>	x = Cars93[c(7)]	:8, 12:15, 17	:22, 25)], y =	= Cars93[4:6])

rm.coef

Computes the RM coefficient for variable subset selection

# Description

Computes the RM coefficient, measuring the similarity of the spectral decompositions of a p-variable data matrix, and of the matrix which results from regressing all the variables on a subset of only k variables.

## Usage

rm.coef(mat, indices)

# Arguments

mat	the full data set's covariance (or correlation) matrix
	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent
	a different <i>k</i> -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third
	dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.

## Details

Computes the RM coefficient that measures the similarity of the spectral decompositions of a pvariable data matrix, and of the matrix which results from regressing those variables on a subset (given by "indices") of the variables. Input data is expected in the form of a (co)variance or correlation matrix. If a non-square matrix is given, it is assumed to be a data matrix, and its correlation matrix is used as input.

The definition of the RM coefficient is as follows:

$$RM = \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}(X^t P_v X)}{X^t X}}$$

where X is the full (column-centered) data matrix and  $P_v$  is the matrix of orthogonal projections on the subspace spanned by a k-variable subset.

This definition is equivalent to:

$$RM = \sqrt{\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i(r)_i^2}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j}}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  stands for the *i*-th largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix defined by X and *r* stands for the multiple correlation between the *i*-th Principal Component and the k-variable subset.

These definitions are also equivalent to the expression used in the code, which only requires the covariance (or correlation) matrix of the data under consideration.

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the RM values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic and improve (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

#### Value

The value of the RM coefficient.

## References

Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001), "Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces", *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*, Vol. 6, 62-79.

McCabe, G.P. (1986) "Prediction of Principal Components by Variable Subsets", *Technical Report* 86-19, *Department of Statistics, Purdue University*.

Ramsay, J.O., ten Berge, J. and Styan, G.P.H. (1984), "Matrix Correlation", *Psychometrika*, 49, 403-423.

## Examples

## An example with a very small data set.

```
data(iris3)
x<-iris3[,,1]
rm.coef(var(x),c(1,3))
## [1] 0.8724422</pre>
```

#### rv.coef

## An example computing the RMs of three subsets produced when the ## anneal function attempted to optimize the RV criterion (using an ## absurdly small number of iterations). data(swiss) rvresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,nsol=4,niter=5,criterion="Rv") rm.coef(cor(swiss),rvresults\$subsets) ## Card.2 ##Solution 1 0.7982296 ##Solution 2 0.7945390 ##Solution 3 0.7649296 ##Solution 4 0.7623326

```
rv.coef
```

Computes the RV-coefficient applied to the variable subset selection problem

## Description

Computes the RV coefficient, measuring the similarity (after rotations, translations and global resizing) of two configurations of n points given by: (i) observations on each of p variables, and (ii) the regression of those p observed variables on a subset of the variables.

#### Usage

rv.coef(mat, indices)

#### Arguments

mat	the full data set's covariance (or correlation) matrix
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different $k$ -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.

#### Details

Input data is expected in the form of a (co)variance or correlation matrix of the full data set. If a non-square matrix is given, it is assumed to be a data matrix, and its correlation matrix is used as input. The subset of variables on which the full data set will be regressed is given by indices.

The RV-coefficient, for a (coumn-centered) data matrix (with p variables/columns) X, and for the regression of these columns on a k-variable subset, is given by:

 $RV = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(XX^t \cdot (P_v X)(P_v X)^t)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}((XX^t)^2) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(((P_v X)(P_v X)^t)^2)}}$ 

where  $P_v$  is the matrix of orthogonal projections on the subspace defined by the k-variable subset.

This definition is equivalent to the expression used in the code, which only requires the covariance (or correlation) matrix of the data under consideration.

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the RV values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic and improve (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

## Value

The value of the RV-coefficient.

#### References

Robert, P. and Escoufier, Y. (1976), "A Unifying tool for linear multivariate statistical methods: the RV-coefficient", *Applied Statistics*, Vol.25, No.3, p. 257-265.

#### Examples

# A simple example with a trivially small data set

```
data(iris3)
x<-iris3[,,1]
rv.coef(var(x),c(1,3))
## [1] 0.8659685</pre>
```

## An example computing the RVs of three subsets produced when the ## anneal function attempted to optimize the RM criterion (using an ## absurdly small number of iterations).

```
data(swiss)
rmresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,nsol=4,niter=5,criterion="Rm")
rv.coef(cor(swiss),rmresults$subsets)</pre>
```

## Card.2
##Solution 1 0.8389669
##Solution 2 0.8663006
##Solution 3 0.8093862
##Solution 4 0.7529066

tau2.coef	
-----------	--

Computes the Tau squared coefficient for a multivariate linear hypothesis

#### Description

Computes the Tau squared index of "effect magnitude". The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the minimization of Wilk's lambda statistic.

## tau2.coef

## Usage

```
tau2.coef(mat, H, r, indices,
tolval=10*.Machine$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

# Arguments

mat	the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
Н	the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
r	the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details below.
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different <i>k</i> -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval	the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .

#### Details

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:

$$X = A\Psi + U$$

where X is the (nxp) data matrix of original variables, A is a known (nxp) design matrix,  $\Psi$  an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and U an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The  $\tau^2$  index is related to the traditional test statistic (Wilk's lambda statistic) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form  $C\Psi = 0$ , where C is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Wilk's lambda statistic ( $\lambda$ ) is given by:

$$\Lambda = \frac{\det(E)}{\det(T)}$$

where E is the Error matrix and T is the Total matrix. The index  $\tau^2$  is related to the Wilk's lambda statistic ( $\Lambda$ ) by:

$$\tau^2 = 1 - \lambda^{(1/r)}$$

where r is the rank of H the Effect matrix.

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the  $\tau^2$  values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

## Value

The value of the  $\tau^2$  coefficient.

## Examples

```
## -----
## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We considered the Iris data and three groups,
## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)</pre>
tau2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,c(1,3))
## [1] 0.8003044
## -----
## 2) An example computing the value of the tau_2 criterion for two
## subsets produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize
## the xi_2 criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).
xiresults<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="xi2",</pre>
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
tau2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,xiresults$subsets)
##
             Card.2
##Solution 1 0.8079476
##Solution 2 0.7907710
## -----
```

trim.matrix

Given an ill-conditioned square matrix, deletes rows/columns until a well-conditioned submatrix is obtained.

## Description

This function seeks to deal with ill-conditioned matrices, for which the search algorithms of optimal k-variable subsets could encounter numerical problems. Given a square matrix mat which is assumed positive semi-definite, the function checks whether it has reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number (i.e., the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue) smaller than tolval. If not, the matrix is considered well-conditioned and remains unchanged. If the ratio of the smallest to largest eigenvalue is smaller than tolval, an iterative process is begun, which deletes rows/columns (using Jolliffe's method for subset selections described on pg. 138 of the Reference below) until a principal submatrix with reciprocal of the condition number larger than tolval is obtained.

#### trim.matrix

## Usage

trim.matrix(mat,tolval=10\*.Machine\$double.eps)

## Arguments

mat	a symmetric matrix, assumed positive semi-definite.
tolval	the tolerance value for the reciprocal condition number of matrix <i>mat</i> .

# Details

For the given matrix mat, eigenvalues are computed. If the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue is less than tolval, matrix mat remains unchanged and the function stops. Otherwise, an iterative process is begun, in which the eigenvector associated with the smallest eigenvalue is considered and its largest (in absolute value) element is identified. The corresponding row/column are deleted from matrix mat and the eigendecomposition of the resulting submatrix is computed. This iterative process stops when the ratio of the smallest to largest eigenvalue is not smaller than tolval.

The function checks whether the input matrix is square, but not whether it is positive semi-definite. This trim.matrix function can be used to delete rows/columns of square matrices, until only non-negative eigenvalues appear.

#### Value

Output is a list with four items:

trimmedmat	is a principal submatrix of the original matrix, with the ratio of its smallest to largest eigenvalues no smaller than tolval. This matrix can be used as input for the search algorithms in this package.
numbers.discard	led
	is a list of the integer numbers of the original variables that were discarded.
names.discarded	1
	is a list of the original column numbers of the variables that were discarded.
size	is the size of the output matrix.

## Note

When the trim.matrix function is used to produce a well-conditioned matrix for use with the anneal, genetic, improve or eleaps functions, care must be taken in interpreting the output of those functions. In those search functions, the selected variable subsets are specified by variable numbers, and those variable numbers indicate the position of the variables in the input matrix. Hence, if a trimmed matrix is supplied to functions anneal, genetic, improve or eleaps, variable numbers refer to the trimmed matrix.

# References

Jolliffe, I.T. (2002) Principal Component Analysis, second edition, Springer Series in Statistics.

## Examples

```
# a trivial example, for illustration of use: creating an extra column,
# as the sum of columns in the "iris" data, and then using the function
# trim.matrix to exclude it from the data's correlation matrix
data(iris)
lindepir<-cbind(apply(iris[,-5],1,sum),iris[,-5])</pre>
colnames(lindepir)[1]<-"Sum"</pre>
cor(lindepir)
##
                     Sum Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
##Sum
               1.0000000
                            0.9409143 -0.2230928
                                                      0.9713793
                                                                 0.9538850
##Sepal.Length 0.9409143
                            1.0000000 -0.1175698
                                                      0.8717538
                                                                 0.8179411
##Sepal.Width -0.2230928 -0.1175698 1.0000000 -0.4284401 -0.3661259
##Petal.Length 0.9713793
                            0.8717538 -0.4284401
                                                     1.0000000
                                                                 0.9628654
##Petal.Width 0.9538850
                            0.8179411 -0.3661259
                                                      0.9628654
                                                                 1.0000000
trim.matrix(cor(lindepir))
##$trimmedmat
               Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width
##
                                          0.8717538
##Sepal.Length
                 1.0000000 -0.1175698
                                                      0.8179411
                            1.0000000
##Sepal.Width
                -0.1175698
                                         -0.4284401
                                                     -0.3661259
##Petal.Length
                 0.8717538 -0.4284401
                                          1.0000000
                                                      0.9628654
##Petal.Width
                 0.8179411 -0.3661259
                                          0.9628654
                                                      1.0000000
##
##$numbers.discarded
##[1] 1
##
##$names.discarded
##[1] "Sum"
##
##$size
##[1] 4
data(swiss)
lindepsw<-cbind(apply(swiss,1,sum),swiss)</pre>
colnames(lindepsw)[1]<-"Sum"</pre>
trim.matrix(cor(lindepsw))
##$lowrankmat
##
                    Fertility Agriculture examination Education Catholic
##Fertility
                    1.0000000 0.35307918 -0.6458827 -0.66378886 0.4636847
                    0.3530792 1.00000000 -0.6865422 -0.63952252 0.4010951
##Agriculture
                                           1.0000000 0.69841530 -0.5727418
##Examination
                   -0.6458827 -0.68654221
                                           0.6984153 1.00000000 -0.1538589
##Education
                   -0.6637889 -0.63952252
##Catholic
                    0.4636847 0.40109505 -0.5727418 -0.15385892 1.0000000
##Infant.Mortality 0.4165560 -0.06085861 -0.1140216 -0.09932185 0.1754959
##
                  Infant.Mortality
##Fertility
                        0.41655603
                       -0.06085861
##Agriculture
##Examination
                       -0.11402160
```

## wald.coef

##Education	-0.09932185
##Catholic	0.17549591
##Infant.Mortality	1.00000000
##	
<pre>##\$numbers.discarded</pre>	
##[1] 1	
##	
##\$names.discarded	
##[1] "Sum"	
##	
##\$size	
##[1] 6	

wald.coef

Wald statistic for variable selection in generalized linear models

# Description

Computes the value of Wald's statistic, testing the significance of the excluded variables, in the context of variable subset selection in generalized linear models

## Usage

```
wald.coef(mat, H, indices,
tolval=10*.Machine$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

# Arguments

mat	An estimate (FI) of Fisher's information matrix for the full model variable- coefficient estimates
Η	A matrix product of the form FI %*% b %*% t(b) %*% FI where b is a vector of variable-coefficient estimates
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different $k$ -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval	the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the Fisher Information and the auxiliar (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the Fisher Information and the auxiliar (H) matrices. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .

#### Details

Variable selection in the context of generalized linear models is typically based on the minimization of statistics that test the significance of excluded variables. In particular, the likelihood ratio, Wald's, Rao's and some adaptations of such statistics, are often proposed as comparison criteria for variable subsets of the same dimensionality. All these statistics are assympotically equivalent and can be converted into information criteria, like the AIC, that are also able to compare subsets of different dimensionalities (see references [1] and [2] for further details).

Among these criteria, Wald's statistic has some computational advantages because it can always be derived from the same (concerning the full model) maximum likelihood and Fisher information estimates. In particular, if  $W_{allv}$  is the value of the Wald statistic testing the significance of the full covariate vector, b and FI are coefficient and Fisher information estimates and H is an auxiliary rank-one matrix given by H = FI %\*% b %\*% t(b) %\*% FI, it follows that the value of Wald's statistic for the excluded variables ( $W_{excv}$ ) in a given subset is given by  $W_{excv} = W_{allv} - tr(FI_{indices}^{-1}H_{indices})$ , where  $FI_{indices}$  and  $H_{indices}$  are the portions of the FI and H matrices associated with the selected variables.

The FI and H matrices can be retrieved (from a glm object) by the glmHmat function and may be used as input to the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps. The Wald function computes the value of Wald statistic from these matrices for a subset specified by indices

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the Wald statistic values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

#### Value

The value of the Wald statistic.

#### References

[1] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, *Biometrics*, Vol. 34, 318-327.

[2] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1987). ISMOD: An All-Subsets Regression Program for Generalized Models I. Statistical and Computational Background, *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, Vol. 24, 117-124.

## Examples

```
## -----
```

## An example of variable selection in the context of binary response ## regression models. The logarithms and original physical measurements ## of the "Leptograpsus variegatus crabs" considered in the MASS crabs ## data set are used to fit a logistic model that takes the sex of each crab ## as the response variable.

library(MASS)
data(crabs)

## wald.coef

```
IFL <- log(crabs$FL)</pre>
lRW <- log(crabs$RW)</pre>
1CL <- log(crabs$CL)</pre>
1CW <- log(crabs$CW)</pre>
logrfit <- glm(sex ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + 1FL + 1RW + 1CL + 1CW,</pre>
crabs,family=binomial)
## Warning message:
## fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred in: glm.fit(x = X, y = Y,
## weights = weights, start = start, etastart = etastart,
lHmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)</pre>
wald.coef(lHmat$mat,lHmat$H,c(1,6,7),tolsym=1E-06)
## [1] 2.286739
## Warning message:
## The covariance/total matrix supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 6.57252030578093e-14.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## It has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validmat(mat, p, tolval, tolsym)
## -----
## 2) An example computing the value of the Wald statistic in a logistic
## model for five subsets produced when a probit model was originally
## considered
library(MASS)
data(crabs)
IFL <- log(crabs$FL)</pre>
1RW <- log(crabs$RW)</pre>
1CL <- log(crabs$CL)</pre>
1CW <- log(crabs$CW)</pre>
probfit <- glm(sex ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + 1FL + 1RW + 1CL + 1CW,</pre>
crabs,family=binomial(link=probit))
## Warning message:
## fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred in: glm.fit(x = X, y = Y,
## weights = weights, start = start, etastart = etastart)
pHmat <- glmHmat(probfit)</pre>
probresults <-eleaps(pHmat$mat,kmin=3,kmax=3,nsol=5,criterion="Wald",H=pHmat$H,
r=1,tolsym=1E-10)
## Warning message:
## The covariance/total matrix supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.14059889205964e-12.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## It has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validmat(mat, p, tolval, tolsym)
logrfit <- glm(sex ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + 1FL + 1RW + 1CL + 1CW,</pre>
crabs,family=binomial)
```

# xi2.coef

```
## Warning message:
## fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred in: glm.fit(x = X, y = Y,
## weights = weights, start = start, etastart = etastart)
lHmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)</pre>
wald.coef(lHmat$mat,H=lHmat$H,probresults$subsets,tolsym=1e-06)
##
               Card.3
## Solution 1 2.286739
## Solution 2 2.595165
## Solution 3 2.585149
## Solution 4 2.669059
## Solution 5 2.690954
## Warning message:
## The covariance/total matrix supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 6.57252030578093e-14.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## It has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validmat(mat, p, tolval, tolsym)
```

xi2.coef

*Computes the Xi squared coefficient for a multivariate linear hypothesis* 

# Description

Computes the Xi squared index of "effect magnitude". The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the maximization of the traditional test statistic, the Bartllet-Pillai trace.

# Usage

```
xi2.coef(mat, H, r, indices,
tolval=10*.Machine$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine$double.eps)
```

#### Arguments

mat	the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
Н	the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
r	the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details below.
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different <i>k</i> -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval	the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.

tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes (A+t(A))/2.

# Details

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:

$$X = A\Psi + U$$

where X is the (nxp) data matrix of original variables, A is a known (nxp) design matrix,  $\Psi$  an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and U an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The Xi squared index is related to the traditional test statistic (Bartllet-Pillai trace) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form  $C\Psi = 0$ , where C is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Bartllet-Pillai trace (P) is given by:  $P = tr(HT^{-1})$  where H is the Effect matrix and T is the Total matrix. The Xi squared index is related to Bartllet-Pillai trace (P) by:

$$\xi^2 = \frac{P}{r}$$

where r is the rank of H matrix.

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the Xi squared values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

#### Value

The value of the  $\xi^2$  coefficient.

# Examples

```
## ------
## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We considered the Iris data and three groups,
## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
xi2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,c(1,3))
## [1] 0.4942503
## ------
## 2) An example computing the value of the xi_2 criterion for two subsets
## produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize the tau_2
## criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).</pre>
```

```
tauresults<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="tau2",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
xi2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,tauresults$subsets)
```

## Card.2
##Solution 1 0.5718811
##Solution 2 0.5232262

## -----

zeta2.coef

Computes the Zeta squared coefficient for a multivariate linear hypothesis

# Description

Computes the Zeta squared index of "effect magnitude". The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the maximization of the traditional test statistic, the Lawley-Hotelling trace.

# Usage

zeta2.coef(mat, H, r, indices, tolval=10\*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000\*.Machine\$double.eps)

## Arguments

mat	the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
Н	the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
r	the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details below.
indices	a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different $k$ -variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval	the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.
tolsym	the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(A+t(A))/2$ .

#### zeta2.coef

#### Details

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:

$$X = A\Psi + U$$

where X is the (nxp) data matrix of original variables, A is a known (nxp) design matrix,  $\Psi$  an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and U an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The  $\zeta^2$  index is related to the traditional test statistic (Lawley-Hotelling trace) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form  $C\Psi = 0$ , where C is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Lawley-Hotelling trace is given by:  $V = tr(HE^{-1})$  where H is the Effect matrix and E is the Error matrix. The index  $\zeta^2$  is related to Lawley-Hotelling trace (V) by:

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{V}{V+r}$$

where r is the rank of H matrix.

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the  $\zeta^2$  values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

#### Value

The value of the  $\zeta^2$  coefficient.

## Examples

```
## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
## We considered the Iris data and three groups,
## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)</pre>
zeta2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,c(1,3))
## [1] 0.9211501
## 2) An example computing the value of the zeta_2 criterion for two
## subsets produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize
## the ccr1_2 criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).
ccr1results<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="ccr12",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
zeta2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,ccr1results$subsets)
##
             Card.2
##Solution 1 0.9105021
##Solution 2 0.9161813
```

zeta2.coef

## -----

# Index

\* Genetic algorithms genetic, 29 \* Leaps and Bounds algorithm eleaps, 15 \* Local search algorithms improve, 47 \* Simulated annealing anneal, 2 \* datasets farm, 25 \* manip anneal, 2 ccr12.coef, 13 eleaps, 15 gcd.coef, 27 genetic, 29 glhHmat, 38glmHmat, 44 improve, 47 ldaHmat, 57 1mHmat, 58 rm.coef, 65 rv.coef.67 tau2.coef, 68 trim.matrix, 70 wald.coef, 73 xi2.coef, 76 zeta2.coef, 78 anneal, 2, 6, 14, 18, 28, 33, 38-40, 44, 45, 50, 57-60, 66, 68, 69, 71, 74, 77, 79 ccr12.coef, 3, 6, 13, 15, 18, 30, 33, 47, 50 eleaps, 6, 15, 33, 38-40, 44, 45, 50, 57-60, 69, 71, 74, 77, 79

farm, 25

gcd.coef, 3, 6, 15, 16, 18, 27, 30, 33, 47, 48, 50

genetic, 3, 6, 14, 18, 28, 29, 33, 38-40, 44, 45, 48, 50, 57-60, 66, 68, 69, 71, 74, 77, 79 glhHmat, 5, 6, 17, 18, 32, 33, 38, 49, 50 glm, 45 glmHmat, 5, 6, 17, 18, 32, 33, 43, 49, 50, 74 improve, 3, 14, 28, 30, 31, 38-40, 44, 45, 47, 48, 57-60, 66, 68, 69, 71, 74, 77, 79 ldaHmat, 5, 6, 17, 18, 32, 33, 40, 49, 50, 57 leaps (eleaps), 15 1m. 60 lmHmat, 5, 6, 17, 18, 32, 33, 40, 49, 50, 58 rm.coef, 3, 6, 15, 18, 30, 33, 47, 50, 65 rv.coef, 3, 6, 15, 18, 30, 33, 47, 50, 67 tau2.coef, 3, 6, 15, 18, 30, 33, 47, 50, 68 trim.matrix, 4, 6, 16, 18, 31, 33, 49, 50, 70, 71 wald.coef, 15, 18, 73 xi2.coef, 3, 6, 15, 18, 30, 33, 47, 50, 76 zeta2.coef, 3, 6, 15, 18, 30, 33, 47, 50, 78