An Introduction to Latent Order Logistic (LOLOG) Network Models

The Statnet Development Team

2023 - 12 - 05

Introduction to LOLOG

Latent Order Logistic (LOLOG) models are a general framework for generative statistical modeling of graph datasets motivated by the principle of network growth. This class of models is fully general and terms modeling different important network features can be mixed and matched to provide a rich generative description of complex networks.

Networks tend to evolve over time. This evolution can take the form of the addition or deletion of a tie from the network, or the addition or deletion of a vertex. To motivate our model we consider a growth process, where each edge variable is sequentially considered for edge creation, and edges are not deleted.

In particular the lolog package uses a vertex ordering process for the motivating data generating process of the graph. For some networks, it may be reasonable to posit that vertices entered the network in some order that may or may not be random, and upon entering the network, edge variables connecting them to vertices already in the network are considered in a completely random order. The probability that an ordering of edge variables (s) occurs is defined as p(s). The probability of a tie, given the network grown up to a time-point is modeled as a logistic regression

$$logit(p(y_{s_t} = 1 | \eta, y^{t-1}, s_{\le t})) = \theta \cdot c(y_{s_t} = 1 | y^{t-1}, s_{\le t})$$

where $s_{\leq t}$ is the growth order of the network up to time t, y^{t-1} is the state of the graph at time t-1. $c(y_{s_t}|y^{t-1}, s_{\leq t})$ is a vector representing the change in some graph statistics from time t-1 to t if an edge is present, and θ is a vector of parameters.

The full likelihood of an observed graph y given an order s is then just the product of these logistic likelihoods

$$p(y|s,\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{n_d} p(y_{s_t} = 1|\eta, y^{t-1}, s_{\le t}),$$

and the marginal likelihood of an observed graph is the sum over all possible orderings

$$p(y|\theta) = \sum_{s} p(y|s,\theta)p(s).$$

Since the ordering of edge variable creation is rarely fully observed, the goal of this package is to perform graph inference on this marginal likelihood. This is done via Method of Moments, Generalized Method of Moments, or variational inference. The following document will show how this is operationalized within the lolog package.

Getting Started

This vignette is based on the vignette from the ergm package, and is designed to give a working introduction to LOLOG modeling.

This vignette will utilize the statnet suite of packages for data and network manipulation. To install these:

install.packages("statnet")

To install the latest version of the package from CRAN (not yet available):

install.packages("lolog")

To install the latest development version from github run the following:

```
# If devtools is not installed:
# install.packages("devtools")
```

devtools::install_github("statnet/lolog")

If this is your first R source package that you have installed, you'll also need a set of development tools. On Windows, download and install Rtools, and devtools takes care of the rest. On a Mac, install the Xcode command line tools. On Linux, install the R development package, usually called r-devel or r-base-dev. For details see Package Development Prerequisites.

Statistical Network Modeling: The lolog Command and lolog Object

Make sure the lolog package is attached:

```
library(lolog)
set.seed(1)
```

The ergm package contains several network data sets that you can use for practice examples.

```
suppressPackageStartupMessages(library(ergm))
#data(package='ergm') # tells us the datasets in our packages
data(florentine) # loads flomarriage and flobusiness data
flomarriage # Let's look at the flomarriage data
#> Network attributes:
#>
    vertices = 16
#>
     directed = FALSE
#>
    hyper = FALSE
    loops = FALSE
#>
     multiple = FALSE
#>
     bipartite = FALSE
#>
#>
    total edges= 20
#>
      missing edges= 0
#>
      non-missing edges= 20
#>
#> Vertex attribute names:
#>
      priorates totalties vertex.names wealth
#>
#> No edge attributes
plot(flomarriage) # Let's view the flomarriage network
```



Networks tend to evolve over time. This evolution can take the form of the addition or deletion of a tie from the network, or the addition or deletion of a vertex. LOLOGs are motivated by a growth process, where each edge variable is sequentially considered for edge creation, and edges are not deleted.

Remember a LOLOG represents the probability of a tie, given the network grown up to a time-point as

$$logit(p(y_{s_t} = 1 | \eta, y^{t-1}, s_{\le t})) = \theta \cdot c(y_{s_t} = 1 | y^{t-1}, s_{\le t})$$

where $s_{\leq t}$ is the growth order of the network up to time t, y^{t-1} is the state of the graph at time t-1. $c(y_{s_t}|y^{t-1}, s_{\leq t})$ is a vector representing the change in graph statistics from time t-1 to t if an edge is present, and θ is a vector of parameters.

An Erdos-Renyi Model

We begin with the simplest possible model, the Bernoulli or Erdos-Renyi model, which contains only an edge term.

```
flomodel.01 <- lolog(flomarriage~edges) # fit model</pre>
#> Initializing Using Variational Fit
#>
#> Model is dyad independent. Replications are redundant. Setting nReplicates <- 1L.
#> Model is dyad independent. Returning maximum likelihood estimate.
flomodel.01
#> MLE Coefficients:
       edges
#>
#> -1.609438
summary(flomodel.01) # look in more depth
#>
         observed_statistics theta
                                              se pvalue
#> edges
                          20 -1.609438 0.2449451
                                                       0
```

How to interpret this model? The log-odds of any tie occurring is:

 $= -1.609 \times \text{change}$ in the number of ties $= -1.609 \times 1$

for all ties, since the addition of any tie to the network changes the number of ties by 1! Corresponding probability is:

 $\exp(-1.609)/(1 + \exp(-1.609)) = 0.1667$

which is what you would expect, since there are 20/120 ties.

A Triangle Model

Let's add a term often thought to be a measure of clustering: the number of completed triangles.

```
flomodel.02 <- lolog(flomarriage~edges()+triangles(), verbose=FALSE)</pre>
summary(flomodel.02)
#>
             observed statistics
                                                    se pvalue
                                       theta
#> edges
                               20 -1.6244426 0.2557877 0.0000
#> triangles
                               3 0.1127843 0.7496755 0.8804
coef1 = flomodel.02$theta[1]
coef2 = flomodel.02$theta[2]
logodds = coef1 + c(0,1,2) * coef2
expit = function(x) 1/(1+exp(-x))
ps = expit(logodds)
coef1 = round(coef1, 3)
coef2 = round(coef2, 3)
logodds = round(logodds, 3)
ps = round(ps, 3)
```

Again, how to interpret coefficients?

Conditional log-odds of two actors forming a tie is:

 $-1.624 \times$ change in the number of ties $+0.113 \times$ change in number of triangles

- if the tie will not add any triangles to the network, its log-odds is: -1.624.
- if it will add one triangle to the network, its log-odds is: -1.624 + 0.113 = -1.512
- if it will add two triangles to the network, its log-odds is: $-1.624 + 0.113 \times 2 = -1.399$
- the corresponding probabilities are 0.165, 0.181, and 0.198.

Let's take a closer look at the lolog object itself:

```
class(flomodel.02) # this has the class lolog
#> [1] "lologGmm" "lolog" "list"
names(flomodel.02) # let's look straight at the lolog obj.
#> [1] "method" "formula" "auxFromula" "theta"
#> [5] "stats" "estats" "auxStats" "obsStats"
#> [9] "targetStats" "obsModelStats" "net" "grad"
#> [13] "vcov" "likelihoodModel"
```

```
flomodel.02$theta
#> edges triangles
#> -1.6244426 0.1127843
flomodel.02$formula
#> flomarriage ~ edges() + triangles()
wealth <- flomarriage %v% 'wealth' # the %v% extracts vertex
wealth # attributes from a network
#> [1] 10 36 55 44 20 32 8 42 103 48 49 3 27 10 146 48
plot(flomarriage, vertex.cex=wealth/25) # network plot with vertex size
```



proportional to wealth

The Effect of Wealth

We can test whether edge probabilities are a function of wealth:

```
flomodel.03 <- lolog(flomarriage~edges+nodeCov('wealth'))
#> Initializing Using Variational Fit
#>
#> Model is dyad independent. Replications are redundant. Setting nReplicates <- 1L.
#> Model is dyad independent. Returning maximum likelihood estimate.
summary(flomodel.03)
#> observed_statistics theta se pvalue
#> edges 20 -2.59492903 0.536051763 0.0000
#> nodecov.wealth 2168 0.01054591 0.004674236 0.0241
```

Yes, there is a significant positive wealth effect on the probability of a tie.

Does Large Wealth Inequality Matter?

We can test whether edge probabilities are a function of wealth:

```
wdiff<-outer(flomarriage %v% "wealth", flomarriage %v% "wealth", function(x,y) {abs(x-y)>20})
table(wdiff)
#> wdiff
#> FALSE TRUE
#> 110
          146
flomodel.04 <- lolog(flomarriage~edges+nodeCov('wealth')+edgeCov(wdiff,"inequality"))</pre>
#> Initializing Using Variational Fit
#>
#> Model is dyad independent. Replications are redundant. Setting nReplicates <- 1L.
#> Model is dyad independent. Returning maximum likelihood estimate.
summary(flomodel.04)
#>
                      observed_statistics
                                                theta
                                                               se pvalue
                                       20 -3.5637462 0.798418212 0.0000
#> edges
                                     2168 0.0065339 0.004749717 0.1689
#> nodecov.wealth
#> edgeCov.inequality
                                       18 1.7806414 0.791428126 0.0245
```

The inequality in wealth does seem to increase the probability of a tie.

Reciprocity

Let's try a model or two on:

Is there a statistically significant tendency for ties to be reciprocated ('mutuality')?

```
data(samplk)
ls() # directed data: Sampson's Monks
                                   "expit"
#> [1] "coef1"
                "coef2"
                                                 "fituk"
                                                               "fitukErgm"
#> [6] "fitukInd"
                     "flobusiness" "flomarriage" "flomodel.01" "flomodel.02"
#> [11] "flomodel.03" "flomodel.04" "g"
                                                 "logodds"
                                                               "ps"
#> [16] "samplk1"
                     "samplk2"
                                   "samplk3"
                                                 "ukFaculty"
                                                               "wdiff"
#> [21] "wealth"
samplk3
#> Network attributes:
    vertices = 18
#>
#>
    directed = TRUE
#>
    hyper = FALSE
#>
    loops = FALSE
#> multiple = FALSE
#> bipartite = FALSE
#> total edges= 56
    missing edges= 0
#>
#>
     non-missing edges= 56
#>
#> Vertex attribute names:
#>
      cloisterville group vertex.names
#>
#> No edge attributes
plot(samplk3)
```



sampmodel.01 <- lolog(samplk3~edges+mutual, verbose=FALSE)
summary(sampmodel.01)
#> observed_statistics theta se pvalue
#> edges 56 -1.782027 0.1690925 0e+00
#> mutual 15 2.504159 0.6580601 1e-04

Modeling Larger Networks

Let's try a larger network. First we will fit a dyad independent model.

```
data(faux.mesa.high)
mesa <- faux.mesa.high
mesa
#> Network attributes:
#> vertices = 205
```

```
#>
     directed = FALSE
#>
     hyper = FALSE
#>
     loops = FALSE
#>
     multiple = FALSE
     bipartite = FALSE
#>
#>
     total edges= 203
#>
       missing edges= 0
#>
       non-missing edges= 203
#>
   Vertex attribute names:
#>
#>
       Grade Race Sex
#>
#> No edge attributes
```



```
#legend('bottomleft',fill=7:12,legend=paste('Grade',7:12),cex=0.75)
mesa %v% "GradeCat" <- as.character(mesa %v% "Grade")</pre>
fauxmodel.01 <- lolog(mesa ~edges + nodeMatch('GradeCat') + nodeMatch('Race'))</pre>
#> Initializing Using Variational Fit
#>
#> Model is dyad independent. Replications are redundant. Setting nReplicates <- 1L.
#> Model is dyad independent. Returning maximum likelihood estimate.
summary(fauxmodel.01)
#>
                      observed_statistics
                                                theta
                                                             se pvalue
#> edges
                                       203 -6.2254111 0.1737005 0.0000
#> nodematch.GradeCat
                                       163 2.8278899 0.1773356 0.0000
#> nodematch.Race
                                       103 0.4266748 0.1427599 0.0028
```

Now lets try adding in transitivity and 2-stars (a measure of degree spread)

```
# This may take a minute or two
fauxmodel.02 <- lolog(mesa ~edges + nodeMatch('GradeCat') + nodeMatch('Race') +</pre>
                        triangles + star(2), verbose=FALSE)
summary(fauxmodel.02)
#>
                      observed_statistics
                                                 theta
                                                               se pvalue
                                      203 -6.32364314 0.20830760 0.0000
#> edges
#> nodematch.GradeCat
                                      163 2.59490775 0.20340748 0.0000
#> nodematch.Race
                                      103 0.41454251 0.17688845 0.0191
#> triangles
                                       62 3.06798684 0.99126189 0.0020
```

#> star.2

659 0.02304049 0.04910313 0.6389

We see strong evidence of additional transitivity, but little evidence that the degrees have higher spread than expected given the other terms. With LOLOG models, we avoid some of the degeneracy problems present in ERGM models. Let's try the same model in ergm

Of course it is highly recommended that you take great care in selecting terms for use with ergm for precisely the reason that many can lead to model degeneracy. A much better choice here for the ergm model would have been to use gwesp and gwdegree in place of triangles and 2-stars.

Specifying Vertex Inclusion Order

LOLOG models are motivated by a growth process where edge variables are "added" to the network one at a time. The package implements a sequential vetex ordering process. Specifically, vertices are "added" to the network in random order, and then edge variables connecting the added vertex to each other vertex already added are included in random order.

If you either observe or partially observe the inclusion order of vertices, this information can be included by specifying it in the model formula after a '|' character. In this example we will consider the network relations of a group of partners at a law firm. We observe the senority of the partner, the type of practice and the office where they work. (cSenority and cPractice are just categorical versions of the numerically coded practice and senority variables). It is plausible to posit that partners with more senority entered the law firm and hense the network prior to less senior individuals.

```
library(network)
data(lazega)
seniority <- as.numeric(lazega %v% "seniority") # Lower values are more senior
fit <- lolog(lazega ~ edges() + triangles() + nodeCov("cSeniority") +</pre>
               nodeCov("cPractice") + nodeMatch("gender") + nodeMatch("practice") +
               nodeMatch("office") | seniority, verbose=FALSE)
summary(fit)
#>
                      observed_statistics
                                                               se pvalue
                                                 theta
#> edges
                                      115 -7.62909972 1.02814124 0.0000
                                      120 1.04890279 0.40979516 0.0105
#> triangles
                                     4687 0.02758051 0.01086011 0.0111
#> nodecov.cSeniority
#> nodecov.cPractice
                                      359 0.71344953 0.17689147 0.0001
#> nodematch.gender
                                       99 1.15706566 0.41651830 0.0055
#> nodematch.practice
                                       72 0.94911655 0.26501833 0.0003
#> nodematch.office
                                       85
                                           1.59911683 0.28483622 0.0000
```

In some cases only a partial ordering is observed. For example we might observed the month someone joined a group, with multiple individuals joining per month. When ties like this exist in the ordering variable, network simulations respect the partial ordering, with ties broken at random for each simulated network.

Model Terms Available for LOLOG Estimation and Simulation

Model terms are the expressions (e.g., triangle) used to represent predictors on the right-hand size of equations used in:

- calls to lolog (to estimate an LOLOG model)
- calls to simulate (to simulate networks from an LOLOG model fit)
- calls to calculateStatistics (to obtain measurements of network statistics on a dataset)

Terms Provided with lolog

For a list of available terms that can be used to specify a LOLOG model, type:

help('lolog-terms')

This currently produces:

- edges (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- star(k, direction=1L) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- triangles() (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- clustering() (order-independent) (undirected)
- transitivity() (order-independent) (undirected)
- mutual() (order-independent) (directed)
- nodeCov(name) (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- nodeMatch(name) (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- nodeMix(name) (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- edgeCov(x) (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- edgeCovSparse(x) (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- degree(d, direction=0L, lessThanOrEqual=FALSE) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- degreeCrossProd() (order-independent) (undirected)
- gwdsp(alpha) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- gwesp(alpha) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- gwdegree(alpha, direction=OL) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- esp(d) (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- geoDist(long, lat, distCuts=Inf) (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (undirected)
- dist(names (dyad-independent) (order-independent) (undirected)
- preferentialAttachment(k=1) (undirected)
- sharedNbrs(k=1) (undirected)
- nodeLogMaxCov(name) (order-independent) (undirected)
- twoPath() (order-independent) (directed) (undirected)
- boundedDegree(lower,upper) (order-independent) (undirected)

Coding New Terms

Full details on coding new terms is beyond the scope of this document. However, lolog provides facilities for extending the package to provide new terms. An example of this can be created via

lologPackageSkeleton()

and then at the command prompt, run

```
R CMD build LologExtension
R CMD INSTALL LologExtension 1.0.tar.gz
```

A new package will be installed implementing the minDegree (minimum degree) statistic.

Alternatively, C++ extensions can be added directly from R without the need of any package infrastructure via the inlining features of the Rcpp package. lolog provides an inline plugin for this feature. For details, see

```
help("inlineLologPlugin")
```

Network Simulation and Computation

Network Statistics can be calculated using the calculateStatistics function

```
calculateStatistics(mesa ~ edges + triangles + degree(0:15))
#>
      edges triangles degree.0 degree.1 degree.2 degree.3
                                                             degree.4
                                                                      degree.5
#>
        203
                   62
                            57
                                      51
                                               30
                                                         28
                                                                  18
                                                                            10
                      degree.8 degree.9 degree.10 degree.11 degree.12 degree.13
#>
   degree.6 degree.7
          2
                                     2
                                                          0
#>
                             1
                                           1
                                                                    0
                   4
                                                                             1
#> degree.14 degree.15
#>
    0
                    0
```

Simulating from a fitted lolog object with

```
nets <- simulate(flomodel.03,nsim=10) #Generates a list of BinaryNet objects
plot(nets[[1]])</pre>
```



Voila. Of course, networks that you generate will look somewhat different. Note that the objects created are BinaryNet objects unless the convert parameter is set to TRUE, in which case they are network objects.

Working with BinaryNet Objects

BinaryNets are network data structures native to the lolog package. They are special in a couple ways. First, they have a sparse representation of missingness, such that a directed network where halve of the nodes have been egocentrically sampled takes the same amount of space as a simple fully observed network. Secondly, they may be passed up and down easily from R to C++ and vis a versa. Finally, they are extensible on the C++ level, so that their implementation may be replaced. For example, it might be useful to put in a file backed storage system for large problems.

BinaryNets can be coerced to and from network objects.

```
data(sampson)
```

```
#coersion
net <- as.BinaryNet(samplike)
nw2 <- as.network(net)
print(nw2)</pre>
```

```
#dyad Extraction
net[1:2,1:5]
```

```
net$outNeighbors(c(1,2,3))
```

All user facing functions in the lolog package accept BinaryNets as arguments, and will convert network, igraph and tidygraph graph objects to BinaryNets automatically.

Order Dependent Terms

LOLOG allows for network statistics that depend not just on the network, but also the (unobserved) order in which dyads were 'added' to the network. One model of this class is Barabasi-Albert preferential attachment model, which is closely approximated by a LOLOG model with an edges and preferentialAttachment term.

For each order dependent statistic, one or more order independent statistics must be specified as moment matching targets. In this case, we will use a two-star term:

```
flomodel.04 <- lolog(flomarriage ~ edges() + preferentialAttachment(),
                              flomarriage ~ star(2), verbose=FALSE)
summary(flomodel.04)
#> observed_statistics theta se pvalue
#> edges 20 -1.55244392 1.8856849 0.4103
#> preferentialAttachment NA 0.02440978 0.7708294 0.9747
```

Examining the Quality of Model Fit - GOF

LOLOGs are generative models - that is, they represent the process that governs tie formation at a local level. These local processes in turn aggregate up to produce characteristic global network properties, even though these global properties are not explicit terms in the model. One test of whether a model "fits the data" is therefore how well it reproduces these global properties. We do this by choosing a network statistic that is not in the model, and comparing the value of this statistic observed in the original network to the distribution of values we get in simulated networks from our model.

We begin by comparing the degree structure of simulated networks compared to the observed (red)

```
gdeg <- gofit(flomodel.03, flomarriage ~ degree(0:10))
gdeg
#> obs min mean max pvalue
#> degree.0 1 0 1.45 5 0.7171458
#> degree.1 4 0 3.13 8 0.5992094
#> degree.2 2 0 3.89 8 0.2341057
#> degree.3 6 0 3.76 8 0.1783473
```

<pre>#> degree.4</pre>	2	0 1.98	6 0.9891959							
<pre>#> degree.5</pre>	0	0 0.99	4 0.1996609							
<pre>#> degree.6</pre>	1	0 0.46	3 0.4681195							
<pre>#> degree.7</pre>	0	0 0.17	2 0.6910189							
<pre>#> degree.8</pre>	0	0 0.11	1 0.7264893							
<pre>#> degree.9</pre>	0	0 0.05	1 0.8194396							
<pre>#> degree.10</pre>	0	0 0.01	1 0.9203443							
plot(gdeg)										



Next we can look at the edgewise shared partner distribution in simulated networks compared with the observed distribution (red)

ges ges	- C	gofit	c(flo	omodel.	.03,	flomarriage	~	esp(0:5))
#>		obs	min	mean	max	pvalue		
#>	esp.0	12	3	12.04	22	0.9910818		
#>	esp.1	7	0	5.76	17	0.7553797		
#>	esp.2	1	0	1.47	12	0.8311341		
#>	esp.3	0	0	0.23	4	0.6844531		
#>	esp.4	0	0	0.03	1	0.8610941		
#>	esp.5	0	0	0.00	0	NA		
plo	ot(gesp)						

